

MANIFOLDS REALIZED AS ORBIT SPACES OF NON-FREE \mathbb{Z}_2^k -ACTIONS ON REAL MOMENT-ANGLE MANIFOLDS

NIKOLAI EROKHOVETS

ABSTRACT. We consider (non-necessarily free) actions of subgroups $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ on the real moment-angle manifold $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ corresponding to a simple convex n polytope P with m facets. The criterion when the orbit space $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ is a topological manifold (perhaps with a boundary) can be extracted from results by M.A. Mikhailova and C. Lange. For any dimension n we construct series of manifolds $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ homeomorphic to S^n and series of manifolds $M^n = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ admitting a hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H$, that is an involution τ such that $M^n/\langle\tau\rangle$ is homeomorphic to S^n . For any 3-polytope P we classify all subgroups $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ such that $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ is a 3-sphere or a rational homology 3-sphere. For any 3-polytope P and any subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ we classify all hyperelliptic involutions $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H$ acting on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$. As a corollary we obtain that a 3-dimensional small cover has 3 hyperelliptic involutions in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 if and only if it is a rational homology 3-sphere and if and only if it correspond to a triple of Hamiltonian cycles such that each edge of the polytope belongs to exactly two of them.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Real moment-angle manifolds and their factor spaces	5
2. A complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ defined by a coloring c	9
3. A weakly equivariant classification of spaces $N(P, \Lambda)$	11
4. A weakly equivariant classification of spaces defined by affine colorings	12
5. A criterion when $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a manifold	13
6. Manifolds with torus actions	16
7. Boolean simplices and simplicial prisms	18
8. Special hyperelliptic manifolds $N(P, \Lambda)$	19
9. A structure of the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ for 3-polytopes	23
9.1. Basic facts from the graph theory	23
9.2. A characterization of complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ of 3-polytopes	24
10. A criterion when $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a sphere for 3-polytopes	26
11. Hyperelliptic manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$ over 3-polytopes	30
12. Rational homology spheres $N(P, \lambda)$ over 3-polytopes	39

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S12, 57S17, 57S25, 52B05, 52B10, 52B70, 57R18, 57R91.

Key words and phrases. Non-free action of a finite group, polytope, real moment-angle manifold, hyperelliptic manifold, rational homology sphere, Hamiltonian cycle.

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant no. 23-11-00143, <https://rscf.ru/en/project/23-11-00143/>.

13. Simple 3-polytopes with 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles	44
13.1. General facts	44
13.2. Polytopes without 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles	45
13.3. Reductions	46
13.4. Fullerenes	47
14. Acknowledgements	48
References	48

INTRODUCTION

Toric topology (see [BP15, DJ91]) assigns to each n -dimensional convex polytope P with m facets F_1, \dots, F_m an n -dimensional *real moment-angle manifold* $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ with an action of a finite group \mathbb{Z}_2^m and an $(n+m)$ -dimensional *moment-angle manifold* \mathcal{Z}_P with an action of a compact torus \mathbb{T}^m such that $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathbb{Z}_2^m = \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathbb{T}^m = P$ and the equivariant topology of these spaces depends only on combinatorics of P . This construction allows one to build large families of manifolds for which deep mathematical results can be proved in a more efficient and explicit form. For example, the problem of classification of 3-dimensional manifolds and 6-dimensional simply-connected manifold by their algebraic topology invariants can be explicitly solved for the large families of small covers and quasitoric manifolds over 3-dimensional right-angled hyperbolic polytopes [BEMPP17]. The Thurston's problem of existence of a geometric decomposition of any orientable 3-manifold was finally solved by G. Perelman. For all 3-dimensional manifolds obtained as orbit spaces of free actions of subgroups in \mathbb{Z}_2^m on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ this decomposition can be described explicitly and constructively [E22M].

In this paper we consider the specification of the following general question to the case of real moment-angle manifolds and subgroups $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$:

Question 1. *When is the orbit space of a smooth action of a finite group on a smooth manifold a topological manifold (perhaps with a boundary)?*

For manifolds $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ we consider the following questions.

Question 2. *When is $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ homeomorphic to S^n ?*

Question 3. *To classify all hyperelliptic involutions in the group \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H acting on the manifold $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$, that is involutions with the orbit space homeomorphic to S^n .*

Question 4. *When is $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ a manifold with the same rational homology as S^n ?*

The exhaustive answer to **Question 1** was obtained in the works by M.A. Mikhailova and C. Lange [M85, LM16, L19]. For an abelian group this is equivalent to the fact that *for any point the representation of its stabilizer in the tangent space in the invariant metric is generated by reflexions and rotations, where the presence of a rotation indicates the presence of a boundary in the manifold*. In our particular case in Theorem 5.1 we give an effective explicit answer in terms of the polytope and the matrix defining a subgroup and a short proof. Namely,

a subgroup H of rank $m - r$ is defined by a *vector-coloring* of rank r , that is a mapping $\Lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ such that $\langle \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2^r$. Usually in toric topology one considers freely acting subgroups. This is equivalent to the fact that the coloring is *linearly independent*, that is the vectors $\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_k}$ are linearly independent if $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k} \neq \emptyset$. In this case the orbit space $N(P, \Lambda) = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ is automatically a (smooth) manifold.

In the general case $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a pseudomanifold, possibly with a boundary, where the boundary is glued of facets F_i with $\Lambda_i = \mathbf{0}$. We prove that $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a topological manifold if and only if different nonzero vectors among $\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_k}$ are linearly independent if $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k} \neq \emptyset$.

We prove (Corollary 1.14) that the pseudomanifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ is closed and orientable if and only if all the vectors $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m$ in \mathbb{Z}_2^r lie in an affine hyperplane $\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x} = 1$ not containing $\mathbf{0}$ (this generalizes the criterion of orientability of small covers from [NN05]). We call such colorings *affine colorings* of rank $r - 1$ and denote them λ . In some coordinate system $\Lambda_i = (1, \lambda_i)$.

A coloring $c: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ defines a complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ with facets G_j the connected components of unions $\bigcup_i F_i$ corresponding to the same color and faces the connected components of intersections of facets. The complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, c_P)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Q, c_Q)$ are equivalent ($\mathcal{C}(P, c_P) \simeq \mathcal{C}(Q, c_Q)$) if there is a homeomorphism $P \rightarrow Q$ mapping facets to facets. In Corollary 2.7 we prove that any two colorings of the simplex Δ^n in r colors produce equivalent complexes. We denote this equivalence class $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$. It turns out that any affine coloring λ of rank r of a polytope P with $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r+1)$ produces a sphere $N(P, \lambda) \simeq S^n$ (see Construction 5.8). Our main result concerning **Question 2** is that in dimension $n = 3$ this construction exhausts all 3-spheres among $N(P, \lambda)$ (Theorem 10.1). The 1-skeleton $\mathcal{C}^1(3, 1)$ is empty, $\mathcal{C}^1(3, 2)$ is a circle without vertices, $\mathcal{C}^1(3, 3)$ is a theta-graph – a graph with two vertices connected by three multiple edges, and $\mathcal{C}^1(3, 4)$ is the complete graph K_4 . Thus, subgroups in \mathbb{Z}_2^m producing spheres $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H$ bijectively correspond to the empty set, simple cycles, theta-subgraphs and K_4 -subgraphs in the 1-skeleton of P .

Question 3 is motivated by papers [M90, VM99M, VM99S2] by A.D. Mednykh and A.Yu. Vesnin who constructed examples of hyperelliptic 3-manifolds in five Thurston's geometries \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{H}^3 , S^3 , $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. These examples were build using a right-angled 3-polytope P equipped with a Hamiltonian cycle, a Hamiltonian theta-subgraph, or a Hamiltonian K_4 -subgraph, where a subgraph is Hamiltonian if it contains all the vertices. We call an involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H$ acting on the manifold $N(P, \lambda)$ defined by an affine coloring of rank r *special* if the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ corresponding to the orbit space $N(P, \lambda)/\langle \tau \rangle$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$. By Construction 5.8 any special involution is hyperelliptic. We introduce Construction 8.6 producing any special hyperelliptic manifold from a coloring $c: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $\mathcal{C}(P, c) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$ and a 0/1-coloring $\chi: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. In Theorem 8.14 we classify all special hyperelliptic involutions $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H$. For $n = 3$ Theorem 10.1 implies that any hyperelliptic involution in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H is special. Our main result concerning **Question 3** is the classification of all hyperelliptic involutions in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H for $n = 3$. In particular, any Hamiltonian empty set ($r = 1$), cycle ($r = 2$), theta-subgraph ($r = 3$) or K_4 -subgraph ($r = 4$) Γ in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$ induces an affine coloring λ_Γ of rank r by the following rule. The facets of P lying in the same facet G_i of Γ can be colored in two colors in such a way that adjacent facets have different colors. Assign to one color the point \mathbf{a}_i and to the other color \mathbf{b}_i , where the points $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots,$

$\mathbf{a}_r, \mathbf{b}_1$ are affinely independent and the vector $\tau = \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_i$ does not depend on i . We obtain an affine coloring λ_Γ and the hyperelliptic involution τ on $N(P, \lambda_\Gamma)$ induced by Γ . In Theorem 11.5 we prove that *hyperelliptic involutions in $\mathbb{Z}_2^m/H(\lambda)$ bijectively correspond to Hamiltonian subgraphs of the above type inducing λ* . Also in Theorem 11.7 we classify all pairs (P, λ) admitting more than one hyperelliptic involution. In particular, 3-dimensional small covers $N(P, \Lambda)$ with three hyperelliptic involutions correspond to triples of Hamiltonian cycles on a simple 3-polytope P such that any edge of P belongs to exactly two cycles.

To study **Question 4** one can use the description of the cohomology $H^*(N(P, \Lambda), \mathbb{Q})$ obtained by A. Suciú and A. Trevisan [ST12, T12], and S. Choi and H. Park [CP17]. Using this description in Theorem 12.5 we describe all 3-dimensional rational homology 3-spheres among manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$. Namely for $n = 3$ *the manifold $N(P, \lambda)$ corresponding to an affine coloring of rank r is a rational homology sphere if and only if for any affine hyperplane π in \mathbb{Z}_2^r the union $\bigcup_{\lambda_i \in \pi} F_i$ is a disk*. In particular, 3-dimensional small covers are exactly small covers with three hyperelliptic involutions described above. In Example 12.11 we build rational homology 3-spheres $N(P, \lambda)$ with geometric structures modelled on $S^3, S^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and \mathbb{H}^3 .

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 1 we give main definitions and basic facts about real moment-angle manifolds $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ and their factor spaces $N(P, \Lambda)$. In particular, in Proposition 1.13 and Corollary 1.14 we give the criterion when the pseudomanifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ is closed and orientable.

In Section 2 we describe complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ corresponding to colorings of facets of P and their properties. In particular, in Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 we prove that all colorings of facets of the simplex Δ^n in r colors produce equivalent complexes.

In Sections 3 and 4 we describe the weakly equivariant classification of spaces $N(P, \Lambda)$ defined by vector-colorings and $N(P, \lambda)$ defined by affine colorings.

In Section 5 we give the criterion when $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a topological manifold (Theorem 5.1) and give a Construction 5.8 of spheres $N(P, \Lambda)$. In particular, in Example 5.9 for any face $G \subset P$ of codimension k we build a subgroup $H_G \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ of codimension $k+1$ such that $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H_G \simeq S^n$. For a vertex of the product $\Delta^{n_1} \times \dots \times \Delta^{n_k}$ this gives an action of \mathbb{Z}_2^{k-1} on $S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k}$ with the orbit space $S^{n_1+\dots+n_k}$ build by Dmitry Gugnín in [G19].

In Section 6 we give a sufficient condition for the space \mathcal{Z}_P/H to be a closed topological manifold with a boundary (Proposition 6.1). This condition is similar to Theorem 5.1 and can be extracted from the general theory developed in [S09, AGo24]. Namely, *if a subgroup H is defined by an integer vector-coloring $\Lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $\langle \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^r$ and for any vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ all the different vectors among $\{\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_n}\}$ form a part of a basis in \mathbb{Z}^r , then \mathcal{Z}_P/H is a closed topological $(n+r)$ -manifold*. In Proposition 6.2 we give a sufficient condition for \mathcal{Z}_P/H to be homeomorphic to a sphere. As an application in Example 6.4 we build an action of \mathbb{T}^{k-1} on $S^{n_1+1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k+1}$ with the orbit space $S^{n_1+\dots+n_k+1}$ constructed in [AGu23].

In Section 7 we describe combinatorial properties of boolean simplicial prisms important for a construction of hyperelliptic manifolds.

In Section 8 we give Construction 8.6 of special hyperelliptic manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$ with a hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H(\lambda)$ such that $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$. In Theorem 8.14 for these manifolds we classify all special hyperelliptic involutions $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H(\lambda)$.

In Section 9 we give basic facts from the graph theory and theory of 3-polytopes and in Theorem 9.10 we prove that *complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ corresponding to 3-polytopes P are exactly subdivisions of the 2-sphere arising from disjoint unions (perhaps empty) of simple curves and connected 3-valent graphs without bridges.*

In Section 10 we prove that *for an affine coloring λ of rank r of a simple 3-polytope P the space $N(P, \lambda)$ is homeomorphic to S^3 if and only if $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(3, r+1)$* (Theorem 10.1).

In Section 11 for affine colorings λ of 3-polytopes P we classify all hyperelliptic involutions in \mathbb{Z}_2^m/H acting on $N(P, \lambda)$ (Theorems 11.5 and 11.7).

In Section 12 we give a criterion when the space $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology 3-sphere (Theorem 12.5) and consider examples of such spaces.

In Section 13 we gather known information on simple 3-polytopes admitting three consistent Hamiltonian cycles and build examples of such polytopes and also of polytopes that do not have such a property.

1. REAL MOMENT-ANGLE MANIFOLDS AND THEIR FACTOR SPACES

For an introduction to the polytope theory we recommend the books [Z95] and [Gb03]. In this paper by a *polytope* we call an n -dimensional combinatorial convex polytope. Sometimes we implicitly use its geometric realization in \mathbb{R}^n and sometimes we use it explicitly. In the latter case we call the polytope *geometric*. A polytope is simple, if any its vertex is contained in exactly n facets. Let $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ be the set of all the facets, and $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1.1. For each geometric simple polytope P one can associate an n -dimensional *real moment-angle manifold*:

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P = P \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m / \sim, \text{ where } (p, a) \sim (q, b) \text{ if and only if } p = q \text{ and } a - b \in \langle \mathbf{e}_i : p \in F_i \rangle,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{Z}_2^m .

The space \mathcal{Z}_P was introduced in [DJ91]. It can be showed that \mathcal{Z}_P has a structure of a smooth manifold such that the action of \mathbb{Z}_2^m is smooth (see [BP15]).

It is convenient to imagine $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ as a space glued from copies of the polytope P along facets. If we fix an orientation on $P \times 0$, then define on the polytope $P \times a$ the same orientation, if a has an even number of unit coordinates, and the opposite orientation, in the other case. A polytope $P \times a$ is glued to the polytope $P \times (a + \mathbf{e}_i)$ along the facet F_i . At each vertex the polytopes are arranged as coordinate orthants in \mathbb{R}^n , at each edge – as the orthants at a coordinate axis, and at face of dimension i – as the orthants at an i -dimensional coordinate subspace. Therefore, $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ has a natural structure of an oriented piecewise-linear manifold. There is a natural action of \mathbb{Z}_2^m on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ induced from the action on the second factor. The actions of basis vectors \mathbf{e}_i can be viewed as reflections in facets of the polytope. In particular, it changes the orientation.

Lemma 1.2. *The element $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ preserves the orientation of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ if and only if it has an even number of nonzero coordinates. In other words, if $x_1 + \dots + x_m = 0$.*

Definition 1.3. We will denote by H_0 the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_2^m consisting of all the orientation preserving elements.

We consider manifolds obtained as orbit spaces of (not necessarily) free actions of subgroups $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$. Each subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_2^m is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2^{m-r} for some r and may be defined as a kernel of a an epimorphism $\Lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$. Such a mapping is uniquely defined by the images $\Lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ of all the vectors $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ corresponding to facets F_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. It can be shown that the action of the subgroup $H(\Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ is free if and only if

(*) for any face $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k}$ of P the vectors $\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_k}$ are linearly independent.

Since any face of P contains a vertex, it is sufficient to check this condition only for vertices.

Definition 1.4. We call a mapping $\Lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ such that the images Λ_j of the facets F_j span \mathbb{Z}_2^r a (general) vector-coloring of rank r . If, additionally, the condition (*) holds we call such a vector-coloring *linearly independent*.

Remark 1.5. In [E22M] by definition any vector-coloring is assumed to be linearly independent.

Remark 1.6. Sometimes we call by a vector-coloring of rank r a mapping $\Lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^s$ such that $\dim \langle \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_s \rangle = r$.

Denote by $N(P, \Lambda)$ the orbit space $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H(\Lambda)$ of an action corresponding to a vector-coloring of rank r . If we identify $\mathbb{Z}_2^m/\text{Ker } \Lambda$ with \mathbb{Z}_2^r via the mapping Λ , then

$$N(P, \Lambda) = P \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r / \sim, \text{ where } (p, a) \sim (q, b) \text{ if and only if } p = q \text{ and } a - b \in \langle \Lambda_i : p \in F_i \rangle.$$

In particular, the space $N(P, \Lambda)$ is glued from 2^r copies of P . It has a canonical action of \mathbb{Z}_2^r such that the orbit space is P .

Definition 1.7. We call $N(P, \Lambda)$ a space defined by a vector-coloring Λ .

Example 1.8. For $r = m$ and the mapping $E(F_i) = e_i$, where e_1, \dots, e_m is the standard basis in \mathbb{Z}_2^m , the space $N(P, E)$ is $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$.

For $r = n$ a linearly independent vector-coloring is called a *characteristic mapping*, and the space $N(P, \Lambda)$ is called a *small cover*.

For $r = 1$ and the constant mapping $\Lambda_i = 1$ the corresponding subgroup is the subgroup H_0 consisting of all the elements preserving the orientation of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$. The space $N(P, \Lambda)$ is glued of two copies of P along the common boundary. It is homeomorphic to S^n .

Proposition 1.9. *For vector-colorings Λ_1 and Λ_2 of ranks r_1 and r_2 of a polytope P we have $H(\Lambda_1) \subset H(\Lambda_2)$ if and only if there is an epimorphism $\Pi: \mathbb{Z}_2^{r_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{r_2}$ such that $\Pi \circ \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$. In this case $N(P, \Lambda_2) = N(P, \Lambda_1)/\text{Ker } \Pi$, where $\text{Ker } \Pi \simeq H(\Lambda_2)/H(\Lambda_1)$. In particular, if the action of $\text{Ker } \Pi$ is free, then there is a covering $N(P, \Lambda_1) \rightarrow N(P, \Lambda_2)$ with the fiber $H(\Lambda_2)/H(\Lambda_1)$.*

Proof. We have $H(\Lambda_1) \subset H(\Lambda_2)$ if and only if each row of the matrix Λ_2 with columns $\Lambda_{2,i}$ is a linear combination of rows of Λ_1 . This is equivalent to the existence of a surjection $\Pi: \mathbb{Z}_2^{r_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{r_2}$ such that $\Pi(\Lambda_{1,i}) = \Lambda_{2,i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. \square

Corollary 1.10. *We have $H(\Lambda_1) = H(\Lambda_2)$ if and only if there is an isomorphism $\Pi: \mathbb{Z}_2^{r_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{r_1}$ such that $\Lambda_1 = \Pi \circ \Lambda_2$.*

Corollary 1.11. *Let Λ be a vector-coloring of rank r of a simple polytope P . Then there is a bijection between the subgroups $H' \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ and the subgroups in \mathbb{Z}_2^m containing $H(\Lambda)$ given by the correspondance $H' = \text{Ker } \Pi \rightarrow \text{Ker } \Pi \circ \Lambda$ (or by the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_2^r \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^m / \text{Ker } \Lambda$). Moreover, $N(P, \Lambda) / H' \simeq N(P, \Pi \circ \Lambda)$.*

Corollary 1.12. *We have $H(\Lambda_1) \subset H(\Lambda_2)$ if and only if there is a change of coordinates in \mathbb{R}^{r_1} such that \mathbb{R}^{r_2} corresponds to the first r_2 coordinates, and $\Lambda_{1,i} = (\Lambda_{2,i}, \beta_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ and some $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1 - r_2}$.*

Proof. Indeed, we can choose a basis e_1, \dots, e_{r_1} in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{r_1}$ such that $\Pi(e_1), \dots, \Pi(e_{r_2})$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^{r_2} , and $e_{r_2+1}, \dots, e_{r_1}$ is a basis in $\text{Ker } \Pi$. We have $\mathbb{Z}_2^{r_1} = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{r_2} \rangle \oplus \langle e_{r_2+1}, \dots, e_{r_1} \rangle$, and in this basis $\Pi(a, b) = a$. \square

The space $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a *pseudomanifold*, perhaps with a boundary. It is glued from 2^r copies of P , any facet of each copy belongs to at most two copies of P , and for any two copies $P \times a$ and $P \times b$ there is a sequence of polytopes $P \times a_i$, $i = 0, \dots, l$, such that $a_{i_0} = a$, $a_l = b$, and $P \times a_i \cap P \times a_{i+1}$ contains a facet of both polytopes. After several barycentric subdivisions this condition translates to a standard definition of a pseudomanifold as a simplicial complex. In particular, the notion of an orientation of the space $N(P, \Lambda)$ is well-defined. The boundary of $N(P, \Lambda)$ is glued of copies of facets F_i of P with $\Lambda_i = 0$. The following result is a generalization of the result from [NN05], which considers only small covers and the result from [E22M], which considers only free actions.

Proposition 1.13. *Let the vectors $\Lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{j_r}$ form a basis in \mathbb{Z}_2^r . Then the pseudomanifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ is orientable if and only if any nonzero Λ_i is a linear combination of an odd number of these vectors. Moreover, if $N(P, \Lambda)$ is orientable, then the action of an element $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ preserves its orientation if and only if \mathbf{x} is a linear combination of an even number of the vectors $\Lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{j_r}$.*

Proof. For $N(P, \Lambda) = P \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r / \sim$ to be orientable it is necessary and sufficient that for any facet F_i of an oriented polytope P such that $\Lambda_i \neq 0$ the polytope $P \times (\mathbf{a} + \Lambda_i)$, which is glued to $P \times \mathbf{a}$ along this facet, has an opposite orientation. Starting from $P \times \mathbf{a}$ and using only facets F_{j_1}, \dots, F_{j_r} we can come from $P \times \mathbf{a}$ to any $P \times \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$, which defines uniquely the orientation of any polytope $P \times \mathbf{b}$. For these orientations to be consistent it is necessary and sufficient that for any facet F_i with $\Lambda_i \neq 0$ the polytope $P \times (\mathbf{a} + \Lambda_i)$ is achieved in an odd number of steps, which is equivalent to the fact that Λ_i is a linear combination of an odd number of vectors Λ_{j_i} . The element $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ moves the polytope $P \times \mathbf{a}$ to $P \times (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{x})$, so it preserves the orientation if and only if \mathbf{x} is a linear combination of an even number of the vectors $\Lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{j_r}$. \square

This condition can be reformulated in a more invariant form.

Corollary 1.14. *The pseudomanifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ is orientable if and only if there is a linear function $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^r)^*$ such that $\mathbf{c}\Lambda_i = 1$ for all i with $\Lambda_i \neq 0$. Moreover, if $N(P, \Lambda)$ is orientable, then the action of an element $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ preserves its orientation if and only if $\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x} = 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, if there is such a function $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^r)^*$, then for a basis $\Lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{j_r}$ $\mathbf{c}\Lambda_{j_s} = 1$ for all s , hence if $\Lambda_j = u_1\Lambda_{j_1} + \dots + u_r\Lambda_{j_r}$, then $\mathbf{c}\Lambda_j = u_1 + \dots + u_r = 1$, and the number of nonzero elements u_s is odd. On the other hand, if any vector Λ_j is a linear combination of an odd number of basis vectors, then the sum of all the coordinates is the desired linear function. \square

Remark 1.15. We can consider the function $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^r)^*$ from Corollary 1.14 as the first coordinate in \mathbb{Z}_2^r . Then $\Lambda_i = (1, \lambda_i)$ if $\Lambda_i \neq 0$. More on this correspondence see in Section 4.

Corollary 1.16. *The pseudomanifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ is closed and orientable if and only if $H(\Lambda) \subset H_0$, that is $H(\Lambda)$ consists of orientation preserving involutions. Moreover, if $N(P, \Lambda)$ is closed and orientable, then the subgroup of the orientation-preserving involutions $H'_0 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ corresponds to the subgroup $H_0/\text{Ker } \Lambda$ under the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_2^r \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^m/\text{Ker } \Lambda$.*

Proof. The subgroup H_0 corresponds to the mapping $\Lambda_0(F_i) = 1$ for all i . Thus, this is the direct corollary of Proposition 1.9 and Corollary 1.11. \square

Corollary 1.17. *The pseudomanifold $N(P, \Lambda)/H'$, where $H' \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$, is closed and orientable if and only if $N(P, \Lambda)$ is closed and orientable and $H' \subset H'_0$, that is H' consists of orientation-preserving involutions.*

Proof. Let $H' = \text{Ker } \Pi$ for a surjection $\pi: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^k$. Then $N(P, \Lambda)/H' = N(P, \Pi \circ \Lambda)$ is closed and orientable if and only if $\text{Ker } \Pi \circ \Lambda \subset H_0$. This holds if and only if $\text{Ker } \Lambda \subset H_0$ and $H' \subset H'_0$. \square

Remark 1.18. Corollaries 1.16 and 1.17 can be explained in another way. The pseudomanifold $N(P, \Lambda) = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H(\Lambda)$ of dimension n is closed and orientable if and only if $H_n(N(P, \Lambda), \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$. There is the following result connected with the notion of a *transfer*.

Theorem 1.19. *(See [B72, Theorem 2.4]) Let G be a finite group acting on a simplicial complex K by simplicial homeomorphisms. Then for any field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0 or prime to $|G|$ the mapping $\pi_*: H_*(|K|, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_*(|K|/G, \mathbb{F})$ induces the isomorphism*

$$H_*(|K|, \mathbb{F})^G \simeq H_*(|K|/G, \mathbb{F}),$$

where $H_*(|K|, \mathbb{F})^G$ consists of homology classes invariant under the action of any g_* , $g \in G$.

The action of \mathbb{Z}_2^m on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ as well as \mathbb{Z}_2^r on $N(P, \Lambda)$ is simplicial with respect to the structure of a simplicial complex arising from the barycentric subdivision of P , hence for $H_n(N(P, \Lambda)/H', \mathbb{Q})$ to be isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} it is necessary and sufficient that $H_n(N(P, \Lambda), \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ (that is, $N(P, \Lambda)$ is closed and orientable) and $H_n(N(P, \Lambda), \mathbb{Q})^G = H_n(N(P, \Lambda), \mathbb{Q})$ (that is, any element of G preserves the orientation).

2. A COMPLEX $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ DEFINED BY A COLORING c

Construction 2.1. Let us call a surjective mapping $c: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow [l] = \{1, \dots, l\}$ a *coloring* of the polytope P in l colors. For any coloring c define a complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c) \subset \partial P$ as follows. Its “facets” are connected components of unions of all the facets of P of the same color, “ k -faces” are connected components of intersections of $(n - k)$ different facets. By definition each k -face is a union of k -faces of P . Choose a linear order of all the facets G_1, \dots, G_M .

By an *equivalence* of two complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Q, c')$ we mean a homeomorphism $P \rightarrow Q$ sending facets of $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ to facets of $\mathcal{C}(Q, c')$. If there is such an equivalence, we call $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Q, c')$ *equivalent*.

Denote $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^k = \{(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : y_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i\}$. For a subset $\omega \subset [m]$ denote $P_\omega = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} F_i$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let a point $p \in \partial P$ belong to exactly $l \geq 0$ facets G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_l} of $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$. Then there is a piecewise linear homeomorphism φ of a neighbourhood $U \subset P$ of p to a neighbourhood $V \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l}$ such that $\varphi(G_{j_s} \cap U) = V \cap \{y_s = 0\}$, $s = 1, \dots, l$.*

Proof. Take the face $G(p) = \bigcap_{F_i \ni p} F_i = F_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{j_k}$. Since the distance from p to any facet F_j , $p \notin F_j$, is positive, there is a neighbourhood $U(p) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $U(p) \cap P = U(p) \cap S(p)$, where

$$S(p) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{a}_{j_1} \mathbf{x} + b_{j_1} \geq 0, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_k} \mathbf{x} + b_{j_k} \geq 0\},$$

and $\mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{x} + b_j \geq 0$ is the halfspace defined by a facet F_j .

For any vertex $v \in G(p)$ there is an affine change of coordinates $y_j = \mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{x} + b_j$: $F_j \ni v$. In these coordinates

$$S(p) = \{y_{j_1} \geq 0\} \times \dots \times \{y_{j_k} \geq 0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k},$$

where for the point p we have $y_{j_1} = \dots = y_{j_k} = 0$ and $y_j > 0$ for all the other j .

Let $G_{i_s} = P_{\omega_{i_s}}$. We have a decomposition $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\} = \omega_{i_1}(p) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \omega_{i_l}(p)$, where $\omega_{i_s}(p) = \omega_{i_s} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$. Set $p_{i_s} = |\omega_{i_s}(p)|$. Then

$$S(p) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{p_{i_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{p_{i_l}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}.$$

Each \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^p is piecewise linearly homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}$. Namely

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^p = \text{cone}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_p) = \bigcup_{j=1}^p \text{cone}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{e}_{j+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_p).$$

Then the mapping

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_{p-1}, \mathbf{e}_p \rightarrow -\mathbf{e}_1 - \dots - \mathbf{e}_{p-1}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_p$$

defines a linear homeomorphism of each cone to its image and a piecewise linear homeomorphism $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^p \simeq \mathbb{R}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}$. It maps $\partial \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^p = \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^p \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^p \{y_i = 0\}$ to \mathbb{R}^{p-1} . Then we have a homeomorphism

$$S(p) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{p_{i_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{p_{i_l}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \simeq (\mathbb{R}^{p_{i_1}-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{R}^{p_{i_l}-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l},$$

which sends each set $G_{i_s} \cap S(p)$ to the corresponding hyperplane $\{y_s = 0\}$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Any set P_ω , $\omega \neq \emptyset, [m]$ is a topological n -manifold with a boundary.*

Proof. To prove this it is sufficient to consider a coloring $c(F_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in \omega \\ 2, & i \notin \omega \end{cases}$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Each k -face of $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ is a topological k -manifold, perhaps with a boundary.*

The proof is similar.

Remark 2.5. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that the polytope P with the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ on its boundary has the structure of a manifold with facets in the sense of [BP15, Definition 7.1.2].

Proposition 2.6. *Let c be a coloring of a simplex Δ^n in r colors. Then there is a homeomorphism of Δ^n to the set*

$$S_{r, \geq}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_r \geq 0, x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1\} \subset S^n$$

such that each facet G_i of $\mathcal{C}(\Delta^n, c)$ is mapped to $S_{r, \geq}^n \cap \{x_i = 0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Proof. We can use the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. First let us realize Δ^n as a regular simplex in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^n &\simeq \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_{n+1} \geq 0, x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = 1\} \\ &\simeq (\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) / (\mathbf{x} \sim t\mathbf{x}, t > 0) \simeq S_{n+1, \geq}^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$c(F_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq i \leq p_1, \\ 2, & p_1 + 1 \leq i \leq p_1 + p_2, \\ \dots & \\ r, & p_1 + \dots + p_{r-1} + 1 \leq i \leq n + 1 \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we have a piecewise linear homeomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{n+1} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{p_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{p_r} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\geq} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_1-1}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{R}_{\geq} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_r-1}) \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^r \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1-r}$$

which sends rays $t\mathbf{x}$, $t > 0$, to rays $t\mathbf{y}$, and each set $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{n+1} \cap \{x_i = 0\}$ to $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^r \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1-r}) \cap \{x_{c(i)} = 0\}$. Then

$$\Delta^n \simeq (\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) / (\mathbf{x} \sim t\mathbf{x}, t > 0) \simeq (\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^r \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1-r}) / (\mathbf{x} \sim t\mathbf{x}, t > 0) \simeq S_{r, \geq}^{n+1},$$

and each facet F_i of Δ^n is mapped to $S_{r, \geq}^{n+1} \cap \{x_{c(i)} \geq 0\}$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *The complexes $\mathcal{C}(\Delta^n, c)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Delta^n, c')$ are equivalent if and only if the colorings c and c' have equal numbers of colors.*

Definition 2.8. We will denote $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$ the equivalence class of complexes $\mathcal{C}(\Delta^n, c)$ corresponding to r colors.

Example 2.9. For any face $G = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k}$ of P of codimension $k \geq 1$ consider the coloring

$$c_G(F_j) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } j = i_s, \\ k + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.10. *The complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c_G)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)$.*

Proof. A central projection from a point $p \in \text{relint } G$ induces a homeomorphism between P and the set

$$B_{k, \geq}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_k \geq 0, x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \leq 1\}$$

such that each facet F_{i_s} is mapped to the set $B_{k, \geq}^n \cap \{x_s = 0\}$, $s = 1, \dots, k$, and all the other facets are mapped to $B_{k, \geq}^n \cap \{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1\}$. Hence, the complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, c_G)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Q, c_{G'})$ are equivalent, if P and Q are simple n -polytopes and $\dim G = \dim G'$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}(P, c_G)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(\Delta^n, c_{\Delta^{n-k}}) = \mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)$. \square

Corollary 2.11. *There is a homeomorphism*

$$(1) \quad S_{r+1, \geq}^n \simeq B_{r, \geq}^n,$$

where one of the facets $\{x_i = 0\}$ of $S_{r+1, \geq}^n$ is mapped to the facet $\{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1\}$ of $B_{r, \geq}^n$.

3. A WEAKLY EQUIVARIANT CLASSIFICATION OF SPACES $N(P, \Lambda)$

Definition 3.1. Two spaces X and Y with actions of \mathbb{Z}_2^r are called *weakly equivariantly homeomorphic* if there is a homeomorphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ and an automorphism $\psi: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ such that $\varphi(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}) = \psi(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$.

Definition 3.2. Let Λ_P and Λ_Q be vector-colorings of rank r of simple n -polytopes P and Q . We call the pairs (P, Λ_P) and (Q, Λ_Q) *equivalent*, if there is an equivalence σ between $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda_P)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Q, \Lambda_Q)$ and a linear isomorphism $A: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ such that $\Lambda_Q(\sigma(G_i)) = A\Lambda_P(G_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, M$.

The following result generalizes the corresponding fact for linearly independent vector-colorings (see Proposition 1.8 in [DJ91] and Proposition 7.3.8 in [BP15]).

Proposition 3.3. *The spaces $N(P, \Lambda_P)$ and $N(Q, \Lambda_Q)$ are weakly equivariantly homeomorphic if and only if the pairs (P, Λ_P) and (Q, Λ_Q) are equivalent.*

Proof. Let the pairs (P, Λ_P) and (Q, Λ_Q) be equivalent. We will denote by G_i the facets of $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda_P)$, by G'_j the facets of $\mathcal{C}(Q, \Lambda_Q)$, by $j = \sigma(i)$ the index such that $\sigma(G_i) = G'_j$. Also denote $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_P(G_i)$ and $\Lambda'_j = \Lambda_Q(G'_j)$.

Define a homeomorphism $P \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ as $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a}) \rightarrow (\sigma(\mathbf{p}), A\mathbf{a})$.

If $\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2 = \sum_{i: \mathbf{p} \in F_i} \Lambda_i x_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathbf{a}_1 - A\mathbf{a}_2 &= \sum_{i: \mathbf{p} \in F_i} (A\Lambda_i)x_i = \sum_{i: \mathbf{p} \in G_i} (A\Lambda_i) \sum_{k: \mathbf{p} \in F_k \subset G_i} x_k = \sum_{i: \mathbf{p} \in G_i} (A\Lambda_i)\tilde{x}_i = \\ &= \sum_{i: \mathbf{p} \in G_i} (\Lambda'_{\sigma(i)})\tilde{x}_i = \sum_{j: \sigma(\mathbf{p}) \in G'_j} \Lambda'_j \tilde{x}_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} = \sum_{j: \sigma(\mathbf{p}) \in F'_k} \Lambda'_k x'_k \text{ for some } x'_k \in \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the mapping preserves the equivalence classes, and we obtain the homeomorphism $\varphi: N(P, \Lambda_P) \rightarrow N(Q, \Lambda_Q)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{a} \cdot [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b}]) &= \varphi[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}] = [\sigma(\mathbf{p}), A(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})] = \\ &= [\sigma(\mathbf{p}), A\mathbf{a} + A\mathbf{b}] = (A\mathbf{a}) \cdot [\sigma(\mathbf{p}), A\mathbf{b}] = (A\mathbf{a}) \cdot \varphi[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b}] \end{aligned}$$

Thus, φ is a weakly equivariant homeomorphism.

Now assume that there is a weakly equivariant homeomorphism $\varphi: N(P, \Lambda_P) \rightarrow N(Q, \Lambda_Q)$. Then there is $A \in Gl_r(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ such that $\varphi(\mathbf{a} \cdot [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b}]) = (A\mathbf{a}) \cdot \varphi[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b}]$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in P$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$. Since φ is weakly equivariant, it induces a homeomorphism of orbit spaces $\widehat{\varphi}: P \rightarrow Q$, where $\widehat{\varphi}(\partial P) = \partial Q$. Moreover, the points in $N(P, \Lambda_P)$ with a stabilizer $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ are mapped by φ to the points in $N(Q, \Lambda_Q)$ with the stabilizer $A(H)$. For a facet G_i of $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda_P)$ define its *relative interior* $\text{relint } G_i$ to be the interior of G_i as a subset of ∂P . Then the points over $\text{relint } G_i$ have the stabilizer $\langle \Lambda_i \rangle$ and are mapped to the points over relative interiors of the facets $G'_{j_1}, \dots, G'_{j_l}$ of $\mathcal{C}(Q, \Lambda_Q)$ with the stabilizer $\langle A\Lambda_i \rangle$. Since $\text{relint } G_i$ is path-connected and each $\text{relint } G'_{j_s}$ is a connected component of $\bigcup_s \text{relint } G'_{j_s}$ (because $G'_{j_s} \cap G'_{j_t} = \emptyset$ for $s \neq t$), we have $\widehat{\varphi}(\text{relint } G_i) = \text{relint } G'_{j_s}$ for a single facet G'_{j_s} . Also $\widehat{\varphi}(\partial G_i) = \partial G'_{j_s}$, since $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous. Thus, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is an equivalence between $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda_P)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Q, \Lambda_Q)$ such that $A\Lambda_P(G_i) = \Lambda_Q(\sigma(G_i))$. The proof is finished. \square

4. A WEAKLY EQUIVARIANT CLASSIFICATION OF SPACES DEFINED BY AFFINE COLORINGS

Remark 1.15 leads to the following definition.

Definition 4.1. We call a mapping $\lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ such that the images λ_j of the facets F_j affinely span \mathbb{Z}_2^r an *affine coloring* of rank r . If, additionally,

$$(**) \quad \text{for any face } F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k} \text{ of } P \text{ the points } \lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_k} \text{ are affinely independent}$$

we call λ an *affinely independent coloring*.

Definition 4.2. Let λ be an affine coloring of a simple n -polytope P . Define $\Lambda_i = (1, \lambda_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1}$. We call the space $N(P, \lambda) = N(P, \Lambda)$ a *space defined by an affine coloring* λ . Set $H(\lambda) = H(\Lambda)$.

By definition $N(P, \lambda)$ is a closed orientable pseudomanifold and any closed orientable pseudomanifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ has this form. There is a canonical action of \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1} on $N(P, \lambda)$, and the subgroup of orientation-preserving involutions is

$$H'_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2^r = \{(x_0, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1}: x_0 = 0\}.$$

This subgroup can be considered as a vector space associated to the affine space \mathbb{Z}_2^r containing the points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$.

The following results follow from Proposition 1.9 and Corollary 1.17.

Corollary 4.3. We have $H(\lambda_1) \subset H(\lambda_2)$ if and only if there is an affine surjection $\widehat{\Pi}: \mathbb{Z}_2^{r_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{r_2}$ such that $\lambda_2 = \widehat{\Pi} \circ \lambda_1$. In this case $N(P, \lambda_2) = N(P, \lambda_1)/H'$, where $H' \simeq H(\lambda_1)/H(\lambda_2)$.

Corollary 4.4. *For a subgroup $H' \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1}$ the space $N(P, \lambda)/H'$ is a closed orientable pseudomanifold if and only if $H' \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r = H'_0$. In this case $N(P, \lambda)/H' = N(P, \widehat{\Pi} \circ \lambda)$, where $\widehat{\Pi}: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r/H'$ is an affine surjection.*

Corollary 4.5. *For an affine coloring λ of rank r of a simple n -polytope P the subgroups $H: H(\lambda) \subset H \subset H_0 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ are in bijection with*

- affine surjections $\widehat{\Pi}: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^l$ defined up to affine changes of coordinates in \mathbb{Z}_2^l ;
- affine colorings λ' of rank l of the form $\lambda' = \widehat{\Pi} \circ \lambda$ defined up to affine changes of coordinates in \mathbb{Z}_2^l ;
- subgroups $H' \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r = H'_0 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1}$ of involutions preserving the orientation of $N(P, \lambda)$.

The correspondence between the projections and the subgroups is given as

$$H' \rightarrow [\mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r/H' \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^l], \quad [A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^l] \rightarrow \text{Ker } A.$$

Definition 4.6. Let λ_P and λ_Q be affine colorings of rank r of simple n -polytopes P and Q . We call the pairs (P, λ_P) and (Q, λ_Q) *equivalent*, if there is an equivalence σ between $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_P)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Q, \lambda_Q)$ and an affine isomorphism $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ such that $\lambda_Q(\sigma(G_i)) = \mathcal{A}\lambda_P(G_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, M$.

Corollary 4.7. *The spaces $N(P, \lambda_P)$ and $N(Q, \lambda_Q)$ are weakly equivariantly homeomorphic if and only if the pairs (P, λ_P) and (Q, λ_Q) are equivalent.*

Proof. Indeed, linear isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1}$ such that the vectors $(1, \lambda_i)$ spanning \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1} are mapped to vectors $(1, \lambda'_j)$ have the form $(1, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow (1, C\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$, where $\det C = 1$, that is they correspond to affine isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$. \square

5. A CRITERION WHEN $N(P, \Lambda)$ IS A MANIFOLD

Theorem 5.1. *The space $N(P, \Lambda)$ defined by a vector-coloring Λ of a rank r of a simple n -polytope P is a closed topological manifold if and only if all the vectors Λ_i are nonzero and for any vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ all the different vectors among $\{\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_n}\}$ are linearly independent. It is a topological manifold with a boundary if and only if $\Lambda_j = 0$ for some j , and for any vertex v all the nonzero different vectors among $\{\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_n}\}$ are linearly independent.*

Remark 5.2. Theorem 5.1 can be extracted from general results by A.V. Mikhailova [M85] and C. Lange [L19]. Nevertheless, we give a short self-sufficient proof here. For $r = m - n + 1$ Theorem 5.1 also follows from results of [G23].

Example 5.3. In the case of 3-polytopes the first condition means that at each vertex $v = F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k$ either $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_j = \Lambda_k$, or for a relabelling $\Lambda_i \neq \Lambda_j$ and $\Lambda_k \in \{\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j\}$, or the vectors Λ_i, Λ_j , and Λ_k are linearly independent.

Corollary 5.4. *The space $N(P, \Lambda)$ defined by a vector-coloring Λ is a closed topological manifold if and only if Λ induces a linearly independent coloring of the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda)$.*

Corollary 5.5. *The space $N(P, \lambda)$ defined by an affine coloring λ is a closed orientable topological manifold if and only if λ induces an affinely independent coloring of the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Consider the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda)$. By construction the mapping Λ induces the vector-coloring of its facets G_1, \dots, G_M . We have

$$(2) \quad N(P, \Lambda) = P \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r / \sim, \text{ where } (p, a) \sim (q, b) \text{ if and only if } p = q \text{ and } a - b \in \langle \Lambda_i : p \in G_i \rangle.$$

If at each vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ all the different vectors among $\{\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_n}\}$ are linearly independent, then for each point $p \in \partial P$, which belongs to exactly l facets G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_l} , the vectors $\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_l}$ are linearly independent. By Lemma 2.2 p has a neighbourhood in P homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l}$. Then in $N(P, \Lambda)$ for the point $p \times a$ these neighbourhoods are glued in the neighbourhood homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l}$. Indeed, in $p \times a$ the copies $P \times (a + \varepsilon_1 \Lambda_{i_1} + \dots + \varepsilon_l \Lambda_{i_l})$, $\varepsilon_s = \pm 1$, are glued locally as the sets $\{\varepsilon_1 y_1 \geq 0, \dots, \varepsilon_l y_l \geq 0\}$, where the addition of the vector Λ_{i_s} corresponds to the operation $y_s \rightarrow -y_s$. Hence, $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a closed topological manifold.

On the other hand, if $\Lambda_j = 0$ for some j but at each vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ all the nonzero different vectors among $\{\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_n}\}$ are linearly independent, then for the the points p lying in the facets G_j with $\Lambda_j = 0$ the neighbourhoods of the form $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l}$ are glued to $\mathbb{R}_{\geq} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where the coordinate $y_s \geq 0$ corresponds to the facet G_j . Thus, $N(P, \Lambda)$ is topological manifold with a boundary glued from copies of the facets G_j with $\Lambda_j = 0$.

Now assume that at some vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ we have $\Lambda_{j_k} = \Lambda_{j_1} + \dots + \Lambda_{j_{k-1}}$ for $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subset \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ and all the vectors $\Lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{j_k}$ are nonzero and different (in particular, $k \geq 3$). Moreover, assume that k is minimal. In particular, the vectors $\Lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{j_{k-1}}$ are linearly independent. Consider a point p such that G_{j_1}, \dots, G_{j_k} are exactly the facets containing this point. Such a point exists by Lemma 2.2 applied to the point v . Also by this lemma some neighbourhood of p in P is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, and the facets G_{j_s} are mapped to the hyperplanes $y_s = 0$. Then for the space $N(P, \Lambda)$ in the point $p \times a$ the copies $(P \setminus G_{j_k}) \times (a + \varepsilon_1 \Lambda_{j_1} + \dots + \varepsilon_{k-1} \Lambda_{j_{k-1}})$, $\varepsilon_s = \pm 1$, are glued locally as the sets $\{\varepsilon_1 y_1 \geq 0, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1} y_{k-1} \geq 0, y_k > 0\}$ and form $\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, where the addition of the vector Λ_{j_s} corresponds to the operation $y_s \rightarrow -y_s$. The points in $G_{j_k} \subset P$ correspond to the points in $\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. In $N(P, \Lambda)$ for these points we have the additional identification $(x, a) \sim (x, a + \Lambda_{j_k}) = (x, a + \Lambda_{j_1} + \dots + \Lambda_{j_{k-1}})$. This means that the point $(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, 0, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$ is identified with $(-y_1, \dots, -y_{k-1}, 0, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$. Equivalently, the copies of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ are glued to the space \mathbb{R}^n / \sim , where $(y_1, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) \sim (-y_1, \dots, -y_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$, and the point $p \times a$ corresponds to the equivalence class $[\mathbf{y}_0]$ of some point $\mathbf{y}_0 = (0, \dots, 0, y_{k+1}^0, \dots, y_n^0)$. In \mathbb{R}^n the point \mathbf{y}_0 has a ball neighbourhood B of radius ε with the boundary sphere S^{n-1} homeomorphic to the join

$$S^{k-1} * S^{n-k-1} = S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k-1} \times [0, 1] / (a_1, b, 0) \sim (a_2, b, 0), (a, b_1, 1) \sim (a, b_2, 1)$$

via the mapping $S^{k-1} * S^{n-k-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}: (a, b, t) \rightarrow (\sqrt{t}a, \sqrt{1-t}b)$. There is a homeomorphism $B \simeq CS^{n-1} \simeq C(S^{k-1} * S^{n-k-1})$, where CX is the cone over X . In \mathbb{R}^n / \sim this gives a neighbourhood homeomorphic to $C(\mathbb{R}P^{k-1} * S^{n-k-1}) = C\Sigma^{n-k} \mathbb{R}P^{k-1}$, where ΣX is a suspension

over X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(N(P, \Lambda), N(P, \Lambda) \setminus [p \times a]) &\simeq H_i(C\Sigma^{n-k}\mathbb{R}P^{k-1}, C\Sigma^{n-k}\mathbb{R}P^{k-1} \setminus \text{apex}) \simeq \\ &H_i(C\Sigma^{n-k}\mathbb{R}P^{k-1}, \Sigma^{n-k}\mathbb{R}P^{k-1}) \simeq \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Sigma^{n-k}\mathbb{R}P^{k-1}) \simeq \tilde{H}_{i+k-n-1}(\mathbb{R}P^{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $k \geq 3$ we have $H_{n+2-k}(N(P, \Lambda), N(P, \Lambda) \setminus [p \times a]) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $N(P, \Lambda)$ is not a manifold. \square

Corollary 5.6. *For any affine coloring of a simple 3-polytope P the space $N(P, \lambda)$ is a closed orientable manifold.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that any two or three different points in \mathbb{Z}_2^r are affinely independent. \square

Corollary 5.7. *Let e_1, \dots, e_r be a basis in \mathbb{Z}_2^r . Then for any mapping $\Lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \{e_1, \dots, e_r, e_1 + \dots + e_r\}$ the space $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a closed topological manifold. Moreover, for odd r it is orientable.*

Construction 5.8. Let P be a simple n -polytope and λ be its affine coloring of rank r . If the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, r+1)$ then the induced coloring is affinely independent, the polytope is homeomorphic to $S_{r+1, \geq}^n$, and the manifold $N(P, \lambda)$ is homeomorphic to S^n glued from 2^{r+1} copies of $S_{r+1, \geq}^n$.

Example 5.9. Examples for Construction 5.8 are provided by Example 2.9. Each face $G = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k}$ corresponds to an affine coloring

$$\lambda_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_s, & \text{if } i = i_s, s = 1, \dots, k, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \mathbf{e}_k = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$. Then the subgroup $H_G = H(\lambda)$ of rank $m - k - 1$ is defined in \mathbb{Z}_2^m by the equations $x_{i_1} = 0, \dots, x_{i_k} = 0$, and $x_1 + \dots + x_m = 0$. This is the intersection of the subgroup H_0 consisting of all the orientation preserving involutions with the coordinate subgroup corresponding to G . We have $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H_G \simeq S^n$.

In particular, each vertex $v \in P$ corresponds to a subgroup H_v of rank $m - n - 1$ such that $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P/H_v \simeq S^n$. The particular case of this construction was presented in [G19]. This corresponds to the case when $P = \Delta^{n_1} \times \dots \times \Delta^{n_k}$ and v is any vertex. We obtain an action of \mathbb{Z}_2^{k-1} on $S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k}$ with the orbit space $S^{n_1 + \dots + n_k}$.

Conjecture 5.10. *The space $N(P, \lambda)$ corresponding to an affine coloring λ of rank r of a simple n -polytope P is homeomorphic to S^n if and only if $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r+1)$.*

Example 5.11. In dimension $n = 1$ we have $P = I^1 = \Delta^1$ and the conjecture is valid.

In dimension $n = 2$ the complex $\mathcal{C}(P_m, \lambda)$ corresponding to an m -gon is equivalent either to $\mathcal{C}(2, 1)$, or to $\mathcal{C}(2, 2)$, or to a complex $\mathcal{C}(P_l, \lambda')$ corresponding to an affinely independent coloring of an l -gon P_l , $l \geq 3$. In the latter case $N(P_m, \lambda) = N(P_l, \lambda')$ is a sphere with g handles, where $\chi(N(P_l, \lambda')) = 2 - 2g = 2^{r-1}l - 2^r l + 2^{r+1}$. Therefore, $g = 1 + 2^{r-2}(l - 4)$ and $N(P_m, \lambda) \not\simeq S^2$ for $l > 3$. Thus, the conjecture is valid.

As we will see in Section 10 the conjecture is also valid in dimension $n = 3$.

Now we will prove a fact about skeletons of the complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{C}(P, \Pi \circ \Lambda)$ which we will need below.

Proposition 5.12. *Let Λ be a vector-coloring of rank r of a simple n -polytope P such that $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a manifold, and $H' \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ be a subgroup of rank k corresponding to a vector-coloring $\Lambda' = \Pi \circ \Lambda$, where $\Pi: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r/H' \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{r-k}$ is the canonical projection. Then any q -skeleton $\mathcal{C}^q(P, \Lambda)$ belongs to the $(q+k)$ -skeleton $\mathcal{C}^{q+k}(P, \Lambda')$.*

Proof. Consider a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}^q(P, \Lambda)$. It lies in the intersection of $(n-q)$ facets $G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_{n-q}}$. Let $F_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{j_l}$ be the minimal face of P containing \mathbf{x} . Then $\{\Lambda(F_{j_1}), \dots, \Lambda(F_{j_l})\} = \{\Lambda(G_{i_1}), \dots, \Lambda(G_{i_{n-q}})\}$ and the latter set of vectors is linearly independent. If the set $\{\Lambda'(G_{i_1}), \dots, \Lambda'(G_{i_{n-q}})\}$ consists of $n-s$ different vectors, then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}^s(P, \Lambda')$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} n-s &\geq \dim \langle \Lambda'(G_{i_1}), \dots, \Lambda'(G_{i_{n-q}}) \rangle = \\ &= \dim \langle \Lambda(G_{i_1}), \dots, \Lambda(G_{i_{n-q}}) \rangle - \dim \text{Ker } \Pi|_{\langle \Lambda(G_{i_1}), \dots, \Lambda(G_{i_{n-q}}) \rangle} \geq \\ &\geq \dim \langle \Lambda(G_{i_1}), \dots, \Lambda(G_{i_{n-q}}) \rangle - \dim \text{Ker } \Pi = n-q-k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $s \leq q+k$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}^{q+k}(P, \Lambda')$. \square

Corollary 5.13. *Let Λ be a vector-coloring of rank r of a simple n -polytope P such that $N(P, \Lambda)$ is a manifold, and $\tau \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ be an involution. Then any vertex of $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda)$ is either a vertex of $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda_\tau)$ or belongs to its 1-face, where $\Lambda_\tau = \Pi \circ \Lambda$, and $\Pi: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r/\langle \tau \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{r-1}$ is the canonical projection.*

6. MANIFOLDS WITH TORUS ACTIONS

Results obtained in Section 5 can be generalized to actions of compact torus $\mathbb{T}^m = (S^1)^m$ instead of \mathbb{Z}_2^m . Namely, let us identify S^1 with \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{T}^r with $\mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r$. Then for a mapping $\Lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $\langle \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^r$ one can define a space

$$M(P, \Lambda) = P \times \mathbb{T}^r / \sim,$$

where $(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{t}_1) \sim (\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{t}_2)$ if and only if $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2$ and $\mathbf{t}_1 - \mathbf{t}_2 \in \left\{ \sum_{i: \mathbf{p}_1 \in F_i} \Lambda_i \varphi_i, \varphi_i \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \right\}$.

We will call the mapping Λ an *integer vector-coloring of rank r* .

The space $M(P, \Lambda)$ has a canonical action of \mathbb{T}^r and $M(P, \Lambda)/\mathbb{T}^r = P$.

When Λ has an additional property

$$(3) \quad \{\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_k}\} \text{ is a part of some basis in } \mathbb{Z}^r \text{ if } F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k} \neq \emptyset,$$

then it is known that $M(P, \Lambda)$ is a topological (even smooth) manifold obtained as an orbit space of a free action of the group

$$H(\Lambda) = \{(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) \in \mathbb{T}^m: \Lambda_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \Lambda_m \varphi_m = \mathbf{0}\} \simeq \mathbb{T}^{m-r}$$

on the moment-angle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_P = M(P, E)$, $E(F_i) = \mathbf{e}_i$, where $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{Z}^m (see [DJ91, BP15]). We have the following generalization.

Proposition 6.1. *Let P be a simple n -polytope and $\Lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ be an integer vector-coloring of rank r such that for any vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ all the different vectors among $\{\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_n}\}$ form a part of a basis in \mathbb{Z}^r . Then $M(P, \Lambda)$ is a closed topological $(n+r)$ -manifold.*

Proof. Consider the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda)$. There is an induced mapping Λ for the set of its facets G_1, \dots, G_M . For each point $p \in \partial P$, which belongs to exactly l facets G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_l} , the vectors $\Lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{i_l}$ form a part of a basin in \mathbb{Z}^r . By Lemma 2.2 p has a neighbourhood in P homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l}$. The open set in $M(P, \Lambda)$ over this neighbourhood is homeomorphic to

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l} \times \mathbb{T}^l \times \mathbb{T}^{r-l} / \sim \simeq \mathbb{C}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l} \times \mathbb{T}^{r-l}.$$

Thus, $M(P, \Lambda)$ is a closed topological $(n+r)$ -manifold. \square

This result can be obtained as a corollary of results in [S09] and also of [AGo24, Theorem 1.1].

Proposition 6.2. *Let P be a simple n -polytope and $\Lambda: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r\}$ be an epimorphism, where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r\}$ is a basis in \mathbb{Z}^r . If the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$ then the polytope is homeomorphic to $S_{r, \geq}^n$, and the manifold $M(P, \Lambda)$ is homeomorphic to S^{n+r} .*

Proof. Indeed, $S_{r, \geq}^n \times \mathbb{T}^r / \sim \simeq S^{n+r}$, and the homeomorphism is given as

$$[(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}), (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r)] \rightarrow (x_1 \cos(2\pi\varphi_1), x_1 \sin(2\pi\varphi_1), \dots, x_r \cos(2\pi\varphi_r), x_r \sin(2\pi\varphi_r), x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}).$$

\square

Example 6.3. Examples for Proposition 6.2 are provided by Example 2.9. Each face $G = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k}$ corresponds to a mapping

$$\Lambda_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_s, & \text{if } i = i_s, s = 1, \dots, k, \\ \mathbf{e}_{k+1}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, \dots , $\mathbf{e}_{k+1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$. Then the subgroup $H_G = H(\Lambda) \simeq \mathbb{T}^{m-k-1}$ is defined in \mathbb{T}^m by the equations $\varphi_{i_1} = 0, \dots, \varphi_{i_k} = 0$, and $\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi_m = 0$. We have $\mathcal{Z}_P/H_G \simeq S^{n+k+1}$.

Example 6.4. For each polytope P the mapping $\Lambda_i = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ gives the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, 1)$. The subgroup $H_0 = H(\Lambda)$ is defined by the equation $\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi_m = 0$. We have $\mathcal{Z}_P/H_0 = S^{n+1}$.

For any vector-coloring Λ such that there is a function $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}^r)^*$ with $\mathbf{c}\Lambda_i = 1$ for all i we have $H(\Lambda) \subset H_0$ and on the space $M(P, \Lambda)$ there is an action of $H'_0 = H_0/H(\Lambda) \simeq \mathbb{T}^{r-1}$ such that $M(P, \Lambda)/H'_0 = \mathcal{Z}_P/H_0 \simeq S^{n+1}$. The subgroup H'_0 is defined in \mathbb{T}^r by the equation $c_1\psi_1 + \dots + c_r\psi_r = 0$.

In particular, for the product of polytopes $P^n = P_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times P_k^{n_k}$ each facet has the form $P_1 \times \dots \times F_{i,j} \times \dots \times P_k$, where $F_{i,j}$ is a facet of P_i . We have a mapping $\Lambda(P_1 \times \dots \times F_{i,j} \times \dots \times P_k) = \mathbf{e}_i$,

where $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{Z}^k . For the function $\mathbf{c} = (1, \dots, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}^k)^*$ we have $\mathbf{c}\mathbf{e}_i = 1$ for all i . Then

$$\mathcal{Z}_P = \mathcal{Z}_{P_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{Z}_{P_k} \text{ and } M(P, \Lambda) = \mathcal{Z}_P / (H_{1,0} \times \dots \times H_{k,0}) = S^{n_1+1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k+1}.$$

On this manifold there is an action of $H'_0 = H_0 / (H_{1,0} \times \dots \times H_{k,0}) \simeq \mathbb{T}^{k-1}$. This subgroup is defined in \mathbb{T}^k by the equation $\psi_1 + \dots + \psi_k = 0$. Then $S^{n_1+1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k+1} / H'_0 \simeq \mathcal{Z}_P / H_0 \simeq S^{n+1}$. This torus analog of Dmitry Gugin's construction from [G19] was described in [AGu23].

The latter example can be generalized as follows. Given integer vector-colorings Λ_{P_i} of ranks r_i on polytopes P_i such that $\mathcal{C}(P_i, \Lambda_{P_i}) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r_i)$ we have the product coloring Λ_P on $P_1 \times \dots \times P_k$ such that $M(P, \Lambda) \simeq S^{n_1+r_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k+r_k}$ and an action of $H'_0 \simeq \mathbb{T}^{r_1+\dots+r_k-1}$ such that $S^{n_1+r_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k+r_k} / \mathbb{T}^{r_1+\dots+r_k-1} \simeq S^{n+1}$.

7. BOOLEAN SIMPLICES AND SIMPLICIAL PRISMS

On this section we will give definition and prove basic facts about the notions we will need in subsequent sections.

Definition 7.1. Let us call an affinely independent set of points $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r+1}\} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^N$ a *boolean r -simplex* and denote it Δ_2^r . By definition set $\Delta^{-1} = \emptyset$. Let us call a set of points $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^N$ affinely equivalent to the direct product $\Delta_2^{r-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ a *boolean simplicial prism* and denote it Π^r . We have $\Pi^1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \Delta^1$ and $\Pi^2 = \mathbb{Z}_2^2$.

A boolean simplicial prism Π^r consists of two disjoint boolean $(r-1)$ -simplices (“bases”) $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$ and $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r$ in \mathbb{Z}_2^r such that any two points $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i$ form a boolean line parallel to the same vector \mathbf{l} (“main direction”) that is not parallel to bases. This means that $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_i$ for all i , and the disjoint union of any base and a vertex of the other base is a simplex. It is easy to see that for any i there is a unique affine isomorphism exchanging \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{b}_i and leaving all \mathbf{a}_j and \mathbf{b}_j with $j \neq i$ fixed.

Lemma 7.2. *A subset of $\Pi^r = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r, \mathbf{b}_r\}$ is affinely independent if and only if it contains at most one pair $\{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i\}$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward using the equality $\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{b}_j = 0$. \square

Corollary 7.3. *A subset $S \subset \Pi^r$ is an affine 2-plane if and only if $S = \{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{b}_j\}$ for $i \neq j$.*

Proof. Indeed, the points $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{a}_j$ are affinely independent and $\mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{a}_j$. Hence, $\{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{b}_j\}$ is an affine 2-plane. On the other hand, if S does not contain two pairs $\{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i\}$, then S is affinely independent. \square

Definition 7.4. Consider two affine sets of points $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^N$. If the planes $\text{aff}(S_1)$ and $\text{aff}(S_2)$ are skew, that is they do not intersect and the intersection of the corresponding linear subspaces is zero, then we call the set $S_1 \sqcup S_2$ a *join* of S_1 and S_2 and denote it $S_1 * S_2$. If S_1 and S'_1 are affinely equivalent as well as S_2 and S'_2 , then $S_1 * S_2$ and $S'_1 * S'_2$ are also affinely equivalent. Therefore, up to an affine equivalence we can define a join of any two sets $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^N$, if we put them to skew planes. Then $(S_1 * S_2) * S_3 = S_1 * (S_2 * S_3)$.

A join of a set S and a point \mathbf{p} is called a *cone* over S and is denoted CS . A cone CS is a disjoint union of S and a point $\mathbf{p} \notin \text{aff}(S)$. We have $C^k S = \Delta_2^{k-1} * S$. The boolean simplex Δ_2^r is a join of its vertices and a cone over Δ_2^{r-1} .

Lemma 7.5. *Any full-dimensional subset S of Π^r of cardinality $r+k$ is affinely isomorphic to $\Delta_2^{r-k-1} * \Pi^k = C^{r-k} \Pi^k$. In particular, for $k=1$ it is Δ_2^r , and for $k=2$ it is $\Delta_2^{r-3} * \mathbb{Z}_2^2$.*

Proof. Indeed, S is affinely isomorphic to $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_k\}$. We have

$$\text{aff}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_k) = \text{aff}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{b}_1).$$

This plane is skew with $\text{aff}(\mathbf{a}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r)$, since the points $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r, \mathbf{b}_1\}$ are affinely independent. \square

Lemma 7.6. *For $k \geq 2$ and $r \geq k+1$ the subsets Δ^{r-k-1} and Π^k are affine invariants of the join $\Delta^{r-k-1} * \Pi^k$.*

Proof. Indeed, Δ^{r-k-1} consists of points not lying in the affine hull of the rest points. \square

Lemma 7.7. *For $r \geq 3$, the main direction \mathbf{l} is a unique direction \mathbf{d} such that Π^r consists of r lines of this direction. In particular, \mathbf{l} is an affine invariant of the boolean prism Π^r . For $r=2$ we have $\Pi^2 = \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and any direction can be chosen as main.*

Proof. Indeed, if $\mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{l}$, then without loss of generality we may assume that one line consists of \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{a}_j . Then $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{b}_j$. Since $r \geq 3$, there are at least three lines. Then either $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a}_k + \mathbf{a}_l = \mathbf{b}_k + \mathbf{b}_l$ or $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a}_k + \mathbf{b}_l = \mathbf{b}_k + \mathbf{a}_l$ for some $k \neq l$ such that $\{k, l\} \cap \{i, j\} = \emptyset$. We obtain a contradiction to Lemma 7.2. \square

Lemma 7.8. *For $r \geq k \geq 3$ the main direction \mathbf{l} of Π^k is a unique direction \mathbf{d} such that the image of $\Delta_2^{r-k-1} * \Pi^k$ under the projection $\mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r / \langle \mathbf{d} \rangle$ is Δ_2^{r-1} .*

For $k=2$ such directions are three main directions of Π^2 .

*For $k=1$ there are $\frac{r(r-1)}{2}$ such directions corresponding to the pairs of vertices of $\Delta_2^{r-k-1} * \Pi^k = \Delta_2^r$.*

Proof. For $k=1$ the statement is trivial. Assume that $k > 2$. The set $\Delta_2^{r-k-1} * \Pi^k$ consisting of $r+k \geq r+2$ points lies on r lines of direction \mathbf{d} . Since at least two lines contain two points and any point of Δ_2^{r-k-1} does not lie in the affine hull of all the other points of $\Delta_2^{r-k-1} * \Pi^k$, each point of Δ_2^{r-k-1} is a single point on the corresponding line. Hence, Π^k consists of k lines of direction \mathbf{d} and by Lemma 7.7 these lines have a main direction. Lemma 7.5 implies that a main direction satisfies the desired condition. \square

8. SPECIAL HYPERELLIPTIC MANIFOLDS $N(P, \Lambda)$

Definition 8.1. Following [VM99S1] we call a closed n -manifold M *hyperelliptic* if it has an involution τ such that the orbit space $M/\langle \tau \rangle$ is homeomorphic to an n -sphere. The corresponding involution τ is called a *hyperelliptic involution*.

In this section we consider hyperelliptic involutions τ in the group \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1} canonically acting on the closed manifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ corresponding to a vector-coloring of rank $r + 1$ of a simple n -polytope P . By Corollary 1.17 the manifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ should be orientable. Hence, $N(P, \Lambda) = N(P, \lambda)$ for an affine coloring λ of rank r . Moreover, by Corollary 4.4 the involution τ preserves the orientation, that is $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r = H'_0$. Corollary 4.5 implies the following result.

Lemma 8.2. *Let λ be an affine coloring of a simple n -polytope P . An involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is hyperelliptic if and only if $N(P, \lambda)/\langle \tau \rangle = N(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is homeomorphic to S^3 , where λ_τ is the composition $\widehat{\Pi} \circ \lambda$ of λ and the affine surjection $\widehat{\Pi}: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r/\langle \tau \rangle$.*

Definition 8.3. Let us call an involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ *special*, if the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$.

Proposition 8.4. *Any special involution is hyperelliptic.*

Proof. This follows from Construction 5.8. □

Definition 8.5. Let us call a manifold $N(P, \lambda)$ equipped with a special hyperelliptic involution τ a *special hyperelliptic manifold of rank r* .

It follows from the definition that any special hyperelliptic manifold is obtained by the following construction.

Construction 8.6 (A special hyperelliptic manifold). Let P be a simple n -polytope and c be its coloring in $r \geq 1$ colors such that the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$. Let G_1, \dots, G_r be its facets. Choose any coloring χ of P in two colors 0 and 1 such that at least one restriction $\chi|_{G_i}$ is non-constant. Define a space $N(P, c, \chi) = N(P, \lambda(c, \chi))$, where

$$\lambda(c, \chi)(F_i) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{a}_{c(i)}, & \text{if } \chi(F_i) = 1, \\ \mathbf{b}_{c(i)}, & \text{if } \chi(F_i) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is a boolean simplicial prism of dimension r . If we exchange the colors 0 and 1 at one facet G_i , then $\lambda(c, \chi)$ will be changed to an affinely equivalent coloring, and the weakly equivariant type of $N(P, c, \chi)$ will remain the same. If $N(P, c, \chi)$ is a manifold, then by definition it is a special hyperelliptic manifold of rank r with the special hyperelliptic involution $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$.

Remark 8.7. The image of the mapping $\lambda(c, \chi): \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ consists of $r + k$ points if and only if $\chi|_{G_i}$ is non-constant exactly for k facets G_i .

Lemma 7.2 and Corollary 7.3 imply the following criterion.

Corollary 8.8. *The space $N(P, c, \chi)$ is a manifold if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions hold:*

- (1) $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k \cap F_l = \emptyset$ whenever $c(F_i) = c(F_j) \neq c(F_k) = c(F_l)$ and $\chi(F_i) = \chi(F_k) \neq \chi(F_j) = \chi(F_l)$;
- (2) $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k \cap F_l = \emptyset$ whenever $\lambda(c, \chi)(\{F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l\}) = \{\mathbf{a}_p, \mathbf{b}_p, \mathbf{a}_q, \mathbf{b}_q\}$ for $p \neq q$.

(3) $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k \cap F_l = \emptyset$ whenever $\lambda(c, \chi)(\{F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l\})$ is an affine 2-plane.

Corollary 8.9. *In dimension $n = 3$ in Construction 8.6 the space $N(P, c, \chi)$ is a special hyperelliptic manifold for any χ .*

Remark 8.10. Corollary 8.9 also follows Corollary 5.6.

Proposition 8.11. *Any special hyperelliptic manifold can be obtained by Construction 8.6.*

Proof. Indeed, if τ is a special involution on the manifold $N(P, \lambda)$, then $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$. Hence, we can choose $c = \lambda_\tau$. The image of c consists of affinely independent points $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r / \langle \tau \rangle$ corresponding to facets G_1, \dots, G_r of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$. Let $\widehat{\Pi}: \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r / \langle \tau \rangle$ be the canonical projection. Choose for each i some facet $F_{j_i} \subset G_i$ and set $\mathbf{a}_i = \lambda_{j_i}$. Then the points $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$ are affinely independent and $\widehat{\Pi}^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_i) = \{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i\}$ for each i , where $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{a}_i + \tau$. Thus, setting $\mathbf{l} = \tau$ and $\chi(F_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda_i = \mathbf{a}_i, \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda_i = \mathbf{b}_i \end{cases}$, we finish the proof. \square

Now let us enumerate all special involutions on a manifold $N(P, \lambda)$.

Proposition 8.12. *Let $N(P, c, \chi)$ be a special hyperelliptic manifold of rank r and G_1, \dots, G_r be facets of $\mathcal{C}(P, c) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$.*

- *If $\chi|_{G_i}$ is non-constant exactly for one facet G_i (that is, the image of $\lambda(c, \chi): \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is a boolean simplex), then $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is a special involution if and only if the vector τ connects two vertices of the simplex and $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$. There are at most $\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ such involutions.*
- *If $\chi|_{G_i}$ is non-constant exactly for two facets G_i and G_j (that is, the image of $\lambda(c, \chi)$ is $\Delta^{r-3} * \Pi^2$), then $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is a special involution if and only if $\tau \in \{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_j\}$ (that is, tau is a main direction of Π^2) and $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$. There are at most three such involutions.*
- *If $\chi|_{G_i}$ is non-constant for more than two facets G_i (that is, the image of $\lambda(c, \chi)$ is $\Delta^{r-k-1} * \Pi^k$ for $k \geq 3$), then $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is a special involution if and only if $\tau = \mathbf{l}$ (the main direction of Π^k). That is, there is only one special involution.*

Proof. The Proposition follows from Lemma 7.8. \square

We can summarise the above results as follows.

Definition 8.13. For an affine coloring λ of rank r of a simple n -polytope P denote $I(\lambda) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$. For a subset $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ denote $G(S) = \bigcup_{q: \lambda_q \in S} F_q \subset \partial P$.

Theorem 8.14. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank r of a simple n -polytope P . The space $N(P, \lambda)$ is a special hyperelliptic manifold if and only if $1 \leq r \leq n + 1$ and one of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *$I(\lambda) = \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r+1}\}$ is a boolean r -simplex, and at least for one direction $\tau = \mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{p}_j$, $i \neq j$, the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$. Each special hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ has this form and there are at most $\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ such involutions.*

- (2) $I(\lambda) = \Delta^{r-3} * \Pi^2$, $\bigcap_{\lambda_j \in \Pi_2} G(\lambda_j) = \emptyset$ and at least for one main direction τ of Π^2 the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$. Each special hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ has this form and there are at most three such involutions.
- (3) $I(\lambda) = \Delta^{r-k-1} * \Pi^k$, $k \geq 3$, $\bigcap_{\lambda_j \in \Pi_2} G(\lambda_j) = \emptyset$ for any 2-plane $\Pi^2 \subset \Pi^k$, and for the main direction τ of Π^k the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(n, r)$. The main direction τ is a unique special hyperelliptic involution in \mathbb{Z}_2^r .

Moreover, in all these cases any vertex of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ belongs to the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Corollary 8.8, Propositions 8.11 and 8.12, and Corollary 5.13. \square

We will specify this result for 3-dimensional polytopes in Section 11.

Corollary 8.15. *If $N(P, \lambda)$ is a special hyperelliptic manifold of rank r , where $1 \leq r \leq n - 2$, then the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ has not vertices.*

Proof. It follows from the fact that the 1-skeleton of the complex $\mathcal{C}(n, r) \simeq \mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is empty for $r \leq n - 2$, since the intersection of all its facets is S^{n-r} , $n - r \geq 2$. \square

Example 8.16. Example 5.9 produces the following special hyperelliptic manifolds. Each face $G = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k}$ and an epimorphism $\chi: \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \setminus \{F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k}\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ corresponds to an affine coloring of rank $k + 1$

$$\lambda_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_s, & \text{if } i = i_s, s = 1, \dots, k; \\ \mathbf{e}_{k+1}, & \text{if } i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \text{ and } \chi(F_i) = 1; \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{if } i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \text{ and } \chi(F_i) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, \dots , $\mathbf{e}_{k+1} = (0, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{k+1}$. Then the subgroup $H_{G, \chi} = H(\lambda)$ of rank $m - k - 2$ is defined in \mathbb{Z}_2^m by the equations $x_{i_1} = 0, \dots, x_{i_k} = 0, x_1 + \dots + x_m = 0$, and $\sum_{i: \chi(F_i)=1} x_i$. The space $N(P, \lambda)$ is a special hyperelliptic manifold of rank $k + 1$ with a special hyperelliptic involution $\mathbf{e}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{k+1}$.

Example 8.17. If λ is an affinely independent coloring of a simple n -polytope P and $N(P, \lambda)$ is a special hyperelliptic manifold of rank r , then $n - 1 \leq r \leq n + 1$, and all the vertices of P belong to the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$, which is a subset of the graph of P . For $r = n - 1$, this 1-skeleton is a single circle without vertices. We have a simple edge-cycle in the graph of P containing all its vertices. Such cycles are called *Hamiltonian*. For $r = n$ the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n, r)$ is a graph with two vertices and n multiple edges. For $r = n + 1$ it is a complete graph K_{n+1} .

Example 8.18. For $n = 1$ the only small cover over $P = I^1 = \Delta^1$ is $N(P, \lambda) = \mathbb{R}P^1 \simeq S^1$, and it is not a special hyperelliptic manifold.

For $n = 2$ any orientable small cover $N(P_k, \lambda)$ over a k -gon P_k is a special hyperelliptic manifold. In this case k is even and λ corresponds to a coloring of edges of P_k in two colors such that adjacent edges have different colors.

For $n = 3$ special hyperelliptic small covers $N(P, \lambda)$ correspond to Hamiltonian cycles on P . We will see such examples in Sections 12 and 13. For example, there is a special hyperelliptic

small cover over the dodecahedron with three special involutions, see Fig. 9. It is a classical fact that not any simple 3-polytope admits a Hamiltonian cycle (see [T46, G68]).

For $n = 4$ if a polytope P admits a special hyperelliptic small cover, then P has a Hamiltonian cycle γ and all the facets of P can be colored in 3 colors in such a way that any edge of γ is an intersection of facets of different colors. Moreover, the union of all the facets of each color is a 3-disk. Since P has at least 5 facets, there are two adjacent facets F_i and F_j of the same color. Then no edge of the polygon $F_i \cap F_j$ belongs to γ , and at each vertex of this polygon γ passes through two complementary edges. Then the colors of the facets $F_k \neq F_i, F_j$ containing the successive edges of $F_i \cap F_j$ alter. Thus, $F_i \cap F_j$ has an even number of edges.

Proposition 8.19. *If a simple 4-polytope P admits a special hyperelliptic small cover, then P has at least one 2-face with an even number of edges.*

Corollary 8.20. *The simplex Δ^4 and the 120-cell have no special hyperelliptic small covers.*

Moreover, it can be shown that the products $I \times \Delta^3$, $I^2 \times \Delta^2$, $\Delta^2 \times \Delta^2$, and the cube I^4 admit no special hyperelliptic small covers.

Conjecture 8.21. *There are no special hyperelliptic small covers in dimensions $n \geq 4$.*

9. A STRUCTURE OF THE COMPLEX $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ FOR 3-POLYTOPES

9.1. Basic facts from the graph theory.

Agreement 9.1. In this article by a spherical graph we mean a graph realized on the sphere S^2 piecewise linearly in some triangulation of S^2 .

For additional details on the graph theory see [BE17I].

Definition 9.2. A graph is *simple* if it has no loops and multiple edges.

Following [Z95] we call a connected graph G with at least two edges *2-connected* if it has no loops and a deletion of any vertex with all incident edges leaves the graph connected.

A connected graph G with at least four edges is called *3-connected*, if it is simple and a deletion of any vertex or any two vertices with all incident edges leaves the graph connected.

A *face* of a spherical graph $G \subset S^2$ is a connected component of the complement $S^2 \setminus G$. A vertex or an edge of G is incident to a face if it belongs to its closure. A vertex of an edge is incident to it.

Two spherical graphs are called *combinatorially equivalent*, if there is a bijection between the sets of their vertices, edges and faces preserving the incidence relation.

A *bridge* of a graph G is an edge such that a deletion of this edge makes the graph disconnected.

The proof of the following classical facts can be found in [BE17I, Lemmas 2.4.1 and 2.4.2] and [BE17S, Lemma 1.27].

Lemma 9.3. *A spherical graph G with more than one vertex is connected if and only if any its face is a disk (equivalently, has one connected component of the boundary).*

Lemma 9.4. *A simple spherical graph G with more than one vertex is 3-connected if and only if any its face is bounded by a simple cycle and if the boundary cycles of two faces intersect, then their intersection is a vertex or an edge.*

To characterize the graphs of 3-polytopes we will use the following result (see [Z95]).

Theorem 9.5 (The Steinitz theorem). *A simple graph G is a graph of some 3-polytope if and only if it is planar and 3-connected.*

Moreover, by H. Whitney's theorem (see [Z95]) any two spherical realizations of the graph of a 3-polytope are combinatorially equivalent.

Corollary 9.6. *A connected simple spherical graph with more than one vertex is combinatorially equivalent to a graph of a 3-polytope if and only if any its facet is bounded by a simple cycle and if the boundary cycles of two facets intersect, then their intersection is a vertex or an edge.*

Lemma 9.7. *For a connected 3-valent spherical graph G the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) G is 2-connected (in particular, it has no loops);
- (2) G has no bridges;
- (3) any face of G is a disk bounded by a simple edge-cycle.

Proof. If G is 2-connected, then it has no bridges, since the deletion of any vertex of a bridge disconnects the graph. If G has no bridges, then it has no loops since the vertex of a loop necessarily belongs to a bridge. Also G has at least 3 edges, since it is 3-valent. If a deletion of a vertex and incident edges makes the graph disconnected, then at least one edge in this vertex is a bridge. A contradiction. Thus, G is 2-connected and items (1) and (2) are equivalent.

If each face of G is a disk bounded by a simple edge-cycle, then G has no bridges since a bridge has the same face on both sides and the boundary cycle of this face is not simple. Let G have no bridges. Since G is connected, each its face is a disk. If a boundary cycle passes a vertex more than once, then it passes an edge more than once since G is 3-valent. Then this edge has the same face on both sides. Hence, it is a bridge, which is a contradiction. Thus, items (2) and (3) are equivalent. \square

Lemma 9.8. *Any 3-valent graph G has an even number of vertices.*

Proof. Indeed, if we cut each edge in two parts, then each vertex is incident to three such parts, hence $3V = 2E$, where V and E are numbers of vertices and edges. In particular, V is even. \square

9.2. A characterization of complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ of 3-polytopes. In dimension $n = 3$ each facet of the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ with a non-constant mapping c is a sphere with holes. Its boundary consists of 1-faces and 0-faces, which we call vertices. Each 1-face belongs to two different facets and each vertex – to three different facets and three different 1-faces. Each 1-face is either the whole circle without vertices, or a simple path connecting two different vertices.

Definition 9.9. We call 1-faces of $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ containing no vertices *circles*, and 1-faces connecting two vertices *edges*.

Consider the 1-skeleton $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$, which is the union of all vertices and 1-faces. Each its connected component is either a circle without vertices or a connected 3-valent spherical graph without loops and bridges. Indeed, a bridge should have the same facet on both sides, hence it can not be an intersection of two different facets.

Theorem 9.10. *Complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ corresponding to 3-polytopes P are exactly subdivisions of the 2-sphere arising from disjoint unions (perhaps empty) of simple curves and connected 3-valent graphs without bridges.*

Proof. We have already proof the theorem in one direction. Consider the other direction. By Lemma 9.7 each connected 3-valent spherical graph without bridges has no loops. We will call by “facets” the connected components of the complement in S^2 to a disjoint union of simple closed curves and connected 3-valent graphs without bridges, and by “circles” simple closed curves from the union.

The empty union corresponds to a constant function c on any polytope. Now let us assume that the union is non-empty.

Consider a facet C and a component γ of ∂C that is not a circle. There is a vertex on γ . This vertex belongs to three different edges and to closures of three different facets, for otherwise some of the edges is a bridge. Two of these edges belong to γ and the third edge does not belong. Then γ is a simple edge-cycle, since it passes each vertex at most once, and C is a sphere with holes bounded by such simple edge-cycles and circles from the union. Each edge or circle belongs to the closures of exactly two different facets, and each vertex – to the closures of three different facets.

Now we will add edges to this data to obtain a 1-skeleton of some simple 3-polytope. Each edge will have two new different 3-valent vertices and will divide a facet into two new different facets. If a facet C is not a disk, we can first add edges connecting points on the same boundary component to subdivide C into rings, and then for each ring add three edges connecting different boundary components to subdivide it into three “quadrangles” (see Fig. 1a).




FIGURE 1. a) A subdivision of a sphere with holes; b) Cutting off the common edges

After this procedure we obtain a new subdivision of a S^2 with 3-valent vertices and each facet being a disk bounded by a simple edge-cycle or a circle without vertices. In the latter case

$\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ consists of two disks glued along the common boundary circle. We can add two edges to these disks to obtain the boundary complex of a simplex. Thus, we can assume that each facet has at least one vertex on the boundary. Then there are at least two vertices, for otherwise the adjacent facet is not bounded by a simple curve. If there are exactly two vertices, we add an edge separating the 2-gon into two triangles. Repeating this step for all 2-gons, we obtain a 3-valent partition of S^2 into polygons with at list 3-edges. The graph defining this partition is simple. Indeed, there are no loops by construction. If two edges have the same vertices, then they form a simple closed curve dividing the sphere into two disks. The third edges at both vertices should lie in the same disk, for otherwise there arise two equal facets in both vertices. Thus, two multiple edges bound a 2-gon. A contradiction.

At the end of this step we obtain a simple spherical graph with each facet bounded by a simple cycle with at least 3 edges. Now we will add edges to this partition to obtain another 3-valent partition such that each facet is bounded by a simple edge-cycle with at least 3 edges and the closures of two different facets have at most one edge in common. The last condition is equivalent to the condition that all the edges of any facet belong to different facets surrounding it. The graph of the new partition is 3-connected and by the Steinitz theorem it corresponds to a boundary of a simple 3-polytope. Then the original complex is obtained from P by a sequence of operations of a deletion of an edge and has the form $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$, where $c(F_i) = c(F_j)$ if and only if the facets of P belong to the same facet in the initial partition.

Now let us describe the last step. If a facet has with another facet more than one common edge, then their intersection consists of a disjoint set of edges lying on the boundary of each facet. We can “cut off” all but one these edges. Namely, for each edge we add inside the first facet an edge with vertices on its boundary close to the vertices of the chosen edge outside it. As a result the edge is substituted by a quadrangle adjacent to 4 different facets (see Fig. 1b). Repeating this procedure we will obtain a new partition of the sphere such that all the edges of the chosen facet belong to different facets and all the arising quadrangles also satisfy this condition. Applying this argument to all the facets one by one we see that at each step there arise no new bad facets, and their total number is decreasing by one. \square

10. A CRITERION WHEN $N(P, \Lambda)$ IS A SPHERE FOR 3-POLYTOPES

In this section we will give a criterion when a manifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ corresponding to a vector-coloring Λ of rank $r + 1$ of a simple 3-polytope is homeomorphic to a sphere S^3 . Since $N(P, \Lambda)$ should be closed and orientable, it has the form $N(P, \lambda)$ for an affine coloring λ of rank r . Thus we will consider only affine colorings.

Following [VM99S1] we call a 3-valent graph consisting of 2 vertices and three edges connecting them a *theta-graph*. By K_n we denote a complete graph on n -vertices.

Theorem 10.1. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank r of a simple 3-polytope P . The space $N(P, \lambda)$ is homeomorphic to S^3 if and only if $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(3, r + 1)$. In other words, if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $r = 0$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is empty;
- (2) $r = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is a circle;

- (3) $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is a theta-graph;
 (4) $r = 3$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is the complete graph K_4 .

In all these cases the image of λ is a boolean $(r + 1)$ -simplex.

Remark 10.2. The spheres in the theorem arise in Construction 5.8 and can be imagined as follows. In the first case S^3 is glued of two copies of a polytope along the boundaries. In the second case – of 4 copies of the ball with the boundary sphere subdivided into two hemispheres. If we glue two copies along the hemispheres we obtain a ball with the boundary subdivided into two hemispheres again. Then we glue two copies of this ball along boundaries. In the third case $N(P, \lambda)$ is glued of 8 copies of the ball with the boundary sphere subdivided into three 2-gons by the theta-graph. We can imagine this sphere as follows. Let the vertices of the theta-graph be the north and the south poles and edges be three meridians. The sphere and the ball are subdivided by the equatorial plane into two balls combinatorially equivalent to a 3-simplex Δ^3 . Then 8 copies of this simplex are glued at one vertex to an octahedron as the coordinate octants in \mathbb{R}^3 . The resulting sphere is glued of two copies of this octahedron along the boundaries. In the case of K_4 the space $N(P, \lambda)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta^3} \simeq S^3$. All these 4 cases arise if we subdivide the standard 3-sphere in \mathbb{R}^4 into 3-disks by 1, 2, 3, or 4 coordinate hyperplanes.

Proof of Theorem 10.1. The “if” direction follows from Construction 5.8.

Now let us prove the theorem in the “only if” direction. By Corollary 5.6 $N(P, \lambda)$ is a closed orientable 3-manifold for any affine coloring λ of a simple 3-polytope P .

If a facet G_j of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is a sphere with at least two holes, then there is a simple closed curve γ inside G_j separating its two boundary components. Then $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ can be represented as a connected sum of spherical complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda')$ and $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda'')$ arising if we change the points at all the facets of P inside one of the connected component of $\partial P \setminus \gamma$ to λ_j . Denote $r' = \text{rk } \lambda'$ and $r'' = \text{rk } \lambda''$. Both spaces $N(P, \lambda')$ and $N(P, \lambda'')$ are closed orientable manifolds by Corollary 5.6.

Lemma 10.3. *There is a homeomorphism*

$$(4) \quad N(P, \lambda) \simeq N(P, \lambda') \#^{2^{r-r'}} \# N(P, \lambda'') \#^{2^{r-r''}} \# (S^2 \times S^1) \#^{[2^r - 2^{r-r'} - 2^{r-r''} + 1]}$$

Corollary 10.4. *If $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ has a facet, which is a sphere with at least two holes, then in the Knezer-Milnor prime decomposition of $N(P, \lambda)$ there is a summand $S^1 \times S^2$. In particular, $N(P, \lambda)$ is not homeomorphic to a sphere and it is not a homology sphere for any coefficient group.*

Proof. Indeed, in the Knezer-Milnor decomposition of $N(P, \lambda)$ there is a summand $\#(S^2 \times S^1) \#^{[2^r - 2^{r-r'} - 2^{r-r''} + 1]}$. But $1 \leq r', r'' \leq r$, since on both sides of the curve γ there is a facet with $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, where G_j is a chosen facet, which is a sphere with at least two holes. Also $r' + r'' = r + \dim \text{aff}(\lambda') \cap \text{aff}(\lambda'') \geq r$, since $\lambda_j \in \text{aff}(\lambda') \cap \text{aff}(\lambda'')$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^r - 2^{r-r'} - 2^{r-r''} + 1 &= 2^{r-r'}(2^{r'} - 1) - (2^{r-r''} - 1) \geq \\ &\geq 2^{r-r'}(2^{r'} - 1) - (2^{r'} - 1) = (2^{r-r'} - 1)(2^{r'} - 1) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if the left part is equal to zero, then $r' = r - r''$ and either $r' = 0$ or $r = r'$ (then $r'' = 0$). A contradiction. \square

If a facet of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is the whole sphere, then $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda) = \emptyset$. Thus, we can assume that each facet of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is a disk. If the intersection of two facets G_i and G_j is a boundary circle of both facets, then $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is a single circle. Thus, we can assume that a nonempty intersection of each two disks G_i and G_j consists of a disjoint union of edges. If there are more than one edge, consider a simple closed curve γ consisting of two simple paths connecting the points inside two common edges – one path in G_i and the other in G_j .

Then $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ can be represented as a connected sum of spherical complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda')$ and $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda'')$ arising if we change the points at all the facets of $P \setminus G_i$ inside one of the connected component of $\partial P \setminus \gamma$ to λ_j . Denote $r' = \text{rk } \lambda'$ and $r'' = \text{rk } \lambda''$. Both spaces $N(P, \lambda')$ and $N(P, \lambda'')$ are closed orientable manifolds by Corollary 5.6.

Lemma 10.5. *There is a homeomorphism*

$$(5) \quad N(P, \lambda) \simeq N(P, \lambda') \#^{2^{r-r'}} \# N(P, \lambda'') \#^{2^{r-r''}} \# (S^2 \times S^1) \#^{[2^{r-1} - 2^{r-r'} - 2^{r-r''} + 1]}$$

Corollary 10.6. *Let each facet of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ be a disk and an intersection of two different facets be a disjoint set of at least two edges. Then in the Knezer-Milnor prime decomposition of the manifold $N(P, \lambda)$ there is a summand $S^1 \times S^2$. In particular, $N(P, \lambda)$ is not homeomorphic to a sphere and it is not a homology sphere for any coefficient group.*

Proof. Indeed, if the Knezer-Milnor prime decomposition of $N(P, \lambda)$ there is a summand $\#(S^2 \times S^1) \#^{[2^{r-1} - 2^{r-r'} - 2^{r-r''} + 1]}$. But $2 \leq r', r'' \leq r$, since on both sides of the curve γ there is a vertex of a common edge, and therefore a facet with $\lambda_k \notin \{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}$, where G_i and G_j are the facets under consideration. Also $r' + r'' = r + \dim \text{aff}(\lambda') \cap \text{aff}(\lambda'') \geq r + 1$, since $\lambda_i, \lambda_j \in \text{aff}(\lambda') \cap \text{aff}(\lambda'')$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{r-1} - 2^{r-r'} - 2^{r-r''} + 1 &= 2^{r-r'}(2^{r'-1} - 1) - (2^{r-r''} - 1) \geq \\ &\geq 2^{r-r'}(2^{r'-1} - 1) - (2^{r'-1} - 1) = (2^{r-r'} - 1)(2^{r'-1} - 1) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if the left part is equal to zero, then $r' - 1 = r - r''$ and either $r' = 1$ or $r = r'$ (then $r'' = 1$). A contradiction. \square

Thus, we can assume that any facet of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is a disk bounded by a simple edge-cycle and any nonempty intersection of two facets is an edge. We know, that the boundary cycle of a facet can not contain only one vertex. If there are only two vertices v and w on the boundary of a facet G_i , then the vertex v belongs to some other facets G_j and G_k . Moreover, each facet G_j and G_k has a common edge with G_i , and this edge contains w . Then $G_j \cap G_k$ is an edge connecting v and w , and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is a theta-graph.

Now assume that each facet has at least 3 vertices on its boundary. Then $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ has no multiple edges, for otherwise a 2-gonal facet arises. Then $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is a simple planar 3-connected graph with at least 4 edges, and by the Steinitz theorem it corresponds to a boundary of some simple 3-polytope Q . This polytope has an induced affinely independent coloring λ and

$N(P, \lambda) = N(Q, \lambda)$, where $N(Q, \lambda)$ is a quotient space of a free action of a subgroup K of \mathbb{Z}_2^l on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_Q$. In particular, it is covered by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_Q$. Hence, if $N(P, \lambda)$ is a sphere, then $N(P, \lambda) = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_Q$.

Assume that $P \neq \Delta^3$. If P has a 3-belt, that is a triple of facets G_i, G_j and G_k with an empty intersection such that any two of them are adjacent, then Q is a connected sum of two polytopes Q_1 and Q_2 along vertices (see details in [E22M]). It is proved in [E22M, Corollary 3.8] that there is a homeomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{P_1}^{\#2^{l-l_1}} \# \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{P_2}^{\#2^{l-l_2}} \# (S^2 \times S^1)^{\#[(2^{l-l_1}-1) \cdot (2^{l-l_2}-1)]},$$

where l, l_1 and l_2 are the numbers of facets of Q, Q_1 and Q_2 respectively. Also $l_1, l_2 \leq l - 1$. Hence, if Q contains a 3-belt, then $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_Q$ contains a summand $S^2 \times S^1$ in its Knezer-Milnor decomposition. If $Q \neq \Delta^3$ has no 3-belts, then Q is a flag polytope and $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_Q$ is aspherical (that is $\pi_i(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_Q) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, see [DJS98, Theorem 2.2.5] or [D08, Proposition 1.2.3]). Thus, if $N(P, \lambda) \simeq S^3$, then $Q = \Delta^3$ and the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 10.7. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank r of a simple 3-polytope P . Then any hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is special, that is $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, r)$.*

Definition 10.8. Let us call by a *theta-subgraph* and a *K_4 -subgraph* of P the image of an embedding of the theta-graph or the complete graph K_4 to the 1-skeleton of P such that each vertex of the embedded graph is mapped to a vertex of P and each edge – to a simple edge-path.

Corollary 10.9. *Let P be a simple 3-polytope. The subgroups $H \neq H_0$ of \mathbb{Z}_2^m such that $N(P, H) \simeq S^3$ are in one-to-one correspondence with simple edge-cycles, theta-subgraphs and K_4 -subgraphs of P . The subgroup corresponding to a subgraph is defined by the linear equations $\sum_{F_i \subset G} x_i = 0$ corresponding to its facets G .*

Example 10.10. Any facet F_i is bounded by a simple edge-cycle. This fits Example 2.9 for $G = F_i$.

Example 10.11. It is known that for any two different vertices of P there is a theta-subgraph with these vertices. This is one of the equivalent definitions of the 3-connectivity of the graph (see [Gb03, Section 11.3]). Each edge $F_i \cap F_j$ of P corresponds to a theta-subgraph according to Example 2.9. Its two additional edges are formed by edges of the facets F_i and F_j complementary to $F_i \cap F_j$.

Example 10.12. Each vertex $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k$ of P corresponds to a K_4 -subgraph according to Example 2.9. Its edges are $F_i \cap F_j, F_j \cap F_k, F_k \cap F_i$, and three additional edges formed by edges of the facets F_i, F_j and F_k complementary to the first three edges.

Example 10.13. It is known that any simple 3-polytope can be combinatorially obtained from Δ^3 by a sequence of operations of cutting off a vertex or a set of successive edges of some facet by a single plane (V. Eberhard (1891), M. Brückner (1900), see [Gb03]). Each operation corresponds to a subdivision of a facet of a graph into two facets by a new edge. Each sequence of such operations connecting Δ^3 and P corresponds a K_4 -subgraph of P .

There is the following characterisation of complexes $\mathcal{C}(3, k)$.

Lemma 10.14. *Let c be a coloring of a simple 3-polytope P . Then*

- (1) $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$ is empty (equivalently, $\mathcal{C}(P, c) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, 1)$) if and only if the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ has exactly one facet;
- (2) $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$ is a circle (equivalently, $\mathcal{C}(P, c) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, 2)$) if and only if the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ has exactly two facets;
- (3) $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$ is a theta-graph (equivalently, $\mathcal{C}(P, c) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, 3)$) if and only if $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ has exactly three facets and all of them are disks;
- (4) $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$ is a K_4 -graph (equivalently, $\mathcal{C}(P, c) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, 4)$) if and only if $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ has exactly four facets, all of them are disks and any two of them intersect.

Proof. The “only if” part follows from the definition. If $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ has exactly two facets, then both of them are disks and they intersect at the common boundary circle $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$. If $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ has exactly three facets and all of them are disks, consider two of them. Their intersection should be an edge, and the complement to their union is the interior of the third disk. Thus, $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$ is a theta-graph. If $\mathcal{C}(P, c)$ has exactly four facets, all of them are disks and any two of them intersect, consider two disks. Their intersection can be either an edge, or a pair of edges, for otherwise there are more than 4 facets. If the intersection is a pair of edges, then the complementary two facets do not intersect, which is a contradiction. Thus, the intersection of any two facets is an edge and any edge belongs to two facets. Then any facet is a triangle and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, c)$ is a K_4 -graph. \square

11. HYPERELLIPTIC MANIFOLDS $N(P, \lambda)$ OVER 3-POLYTOPES

Definition 11.1. A *Hamiltonian cycle* of a polytope P is a simple edge-cycle in the graph of P containing all the vertices of P . Let us call a theta-subgraph or a K_4 -subgraph of P *Hamiltonian* if it contains all the vertices of P . More generally, for a coloring κ of a simple polytope P we call an empty set \emptyset , a simple cycle, a theta-subgraph or a K_4 -subgraph of $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \kappa)$ *Hamiltonian*, if it contains all the vertices of $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$. Here by a simple cycle we mean either a circle (that is a 1-face without vertices) or a simple edge-cycle in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \kappa)$. In particular, if an empty set or a circle is Hamiltonian, then $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \kappa)$ has no vertices, and it is a disjoint union of circles.

In the papers [M90, VM99M, VM99S2] the authors constructed examples of hyperelliptic 3-manifolds in five Thurston’s geometries \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{S}^3 , $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. In each case M is obtained as X/π , where X is a geometry and π is a discrete group of isometries acting freely on X . These examples were build using a right-angled 3-polytope P equipped with a Hamiltonian cycle, a Hamiltonian theta-subgraph, or a Hamiltonian K_4 -subgraph.

In this section we will enumerate all hyperelliptic 3-manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$ corresponding to affine colorings of rank r such that the hyperelliptic involution belongs to the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^r = H_0'$ canonically acting on $N(P, \lambda)$. It turns out that in the case of a right-angled polytope P and an affinely independent coloring λ these are exactly manifolds built by A.D. Mednykh and A.Yu. Vesnin. In general case these manifolds correspond to proper Hamiltonian cycles, theta- and K_4 -subgraphs in the complexes $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$ defined by colorings κ of simple 3-polytopes.

Construction 11.2 (An affine coloring induced by a Hamiltonian subgraph). Let κ be a coloring of a simple polytope P . Given a proper Hamiltonian cycle, theta-, or K_4 -subgraph $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}^1(P, \kappa)$ one can define an affine coloring Λ_Γ induced by Γ and a special hyperelliptic manifold $N(P, \kappa, \Gamma) = N(P, \lambda_\Gamma)$ as follows.

Consider a facet D of Γ such that D is a union of more than one facets of $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$. Such a facet exists if $\Gamma \neq \mathcal{C}^1(P, \kappa)$. The facet D is a disk bounded by a simple cycle of $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \kappa)$ and containing no vertices of $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \kappa)$ in its interior. Consider the adjacency graph G_D of the facets of $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$ lying in D . Its vertices are facets and its edges correspond to 1-faces of $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$ lying in two facets. The graph G_D is connected. If its edge E corresponds to an edge e of $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$, then e has vertices on ∂D and E is a bridge. If E corresponds to the circle of $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$, then E is also a bridge. Thus, G_D is a tree and its vertices can be colored in two colors such that adjacent vertices have different colors. Hence, the facets of Γ define a coloring c of P constant on them, and the tree corresponding to each facet defines the 0/1-coloring χ in Construction 8.6. We obtain an affine coloring $\lambda_\Gamma = \lambda(c, \chi)$ and a special hyperelliptic manifold $N(P, \kappa, \Gamma) = N(P, \lambda_\Gamma)$ of rank r , where $r = 2$ for a Hamiltonian cycle, $r = 3$ for a Hamiltonian theta-subgraph, and $r = 4$ for a Hamiltonian K_4 -subgraph. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}^1(P, (\lambda_\Gamma)_\tau) = \Gamma$.

Similarly, a proper Hamiltonian empty set $\Gamma = \emptyset$ induces an affine coloring λ_Γ and defines a special hyperelliptic manifold $N(P, \kappa, \Gamma) = N(P, \lambda_\Gamma)$ of rank $r = 1$. Namely, if the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$ has no vertices, then $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \kappa)$ is a disjoint union of circles and each circle divides the sphere ∂P into two disks. Then the adjacency graph of facets of $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$ is a tree and we can define the 0/1-coloring χ and the constant coloring c in Construction 8.6.

Remark 11.3. It is not true that if the manifolds $N(P, \kappa, \Gamma)$ and $N(Q, \kappa', \Gamma')$ are weakly equivariantly homeomorphic, then there is an equivalence $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Q, \kappa')$ such that $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$. Two combinatorially different Hamiltonian subgraphs in $\mathcal{C}(P, \kappa)$ may induce the same affine coloring $\lambda(c, \chi)$. In Fig. 17 there is a polytope P with three Hamiltonian cycles inducing the same affine coloring of rank 2 in four colors. Two of these cycles can be moved to each other by a combinatorial equivalence of P , but the third can not.

Definition 11.4. A *matching* of a graph G is a disjoint set of edges. A matching is *perfect*, if it contains all the vertices of G . Perfect matching is also called a *1-factor*. A *1-factorization* is a partition of the set of edges of G into disjoint 1-factors. A *perfect pair* from a 1-factorization is a pair of 1-factors whose union induces a Hamiltonian cycle. A *perfect 1-factorization* of a graph is a 1-factorization having the property that every pair of 1-factors is a perfect pair.

Any Hamiltonian cycle Γ in a 3-valent graph G defines the following 1-factorisation of G . Each edge of G not lying in Γ connects two different vertices of G and any vertex belongs to a unique edge of this type. We obtain a 1-factor. Then there are even number of vertices and edges in Γ and it is partitioned into two additional 1-factors.

We will call a Hamiltonian cycle in a 3-valent graph *k-Hamiltonian*, if the corresponding 1-factorization has exactly k perfect pairs.

Theorem 11.5. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank r of a simple 3-polytope P . Then $N(P, \lambda)$ is a hyperelliptic manifold with a hyperelliptic involution lying in the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^r = H'_0$ of orientation preserving involutions canonically acting on $N(P, \lambda)$ if and only if $1 \leq r \leq 4$ and λ is induced by*

- (1) a Hamiltonian empty set in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ for $r = 1$;
- (2) a Hamiltonian cycle in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ for $r = 2$;
- (3) a Hamiltonian theta-subgraph in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ for $r = 3$;
- (4) a Hamiltonian K_4 -subgraph in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ for $r = 4$.

Hyperelliptic involutions in \mathbb{Z}_2^r bijectively correspond to the Hamiltonian subgraphs of the above type inducing the coloring λ . Moreover,

- (1) for $r = 1$ there is a unique hyperelliptic involution;
- (2) for $r = 2$ there can be 1, 2 or 3 such involutions. If the Hamiltonian cycle is a circle, then there is a unique hyperelliptic involution. For the Hamiltonian edge-cycle each involution corresponds to a perfect pair of 1-factors. In particular, there are $k \geq 2$ hyperelliptic involutions if and only if $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is a connected 3-valent graph and λ is induced by a k -Hamiltonian cycle.
- (3) for $r = 3$ and
 - (a) $I(\lambda) = 4$ there can be 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6 hyperelliptic involutions;
 - (b) $I(\lambda) = 5$ there can be 1, 2 or 3 such involutions;
 - (c) $I(\lambda) = 6$ there is a unique hyperelliptic involution;
- (4) for $r = 4$ and
 - (a) $I(\lambda) = 5$ there can be 1, 2 or 6 hyperelliptic involutions;
 - (b) $I(\lambda) = 6$ there can be 1 or 2 such involutions;
 - (c) $I(\lambda) \in \{7, 8\}$ there is a unique hyperelliptic involution;

We will obtain this result as a corollary of the following lemma and a more technical theorem.

Lemma 11.6. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank r of a simple 3-polytope P and $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$. Then $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, r)$ (that is, τ is a hyperelliptic involution) if and only if one of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $r = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is a Hamiltonian empty set in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$;
- (2) $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is a Hamiltonian cycle in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$;
- (3) $r = 3$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is a Hamiltonian theta-subgraph in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$;
- (4) $r = 4$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is a Hamiltonian K_4 -subgraph in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$.

In all these cases λ is induced by the corresponding Hamiltonian subgraph.

Proof. The lemma follows from Theorem 10.1 and Corollary 5.13. □

Theorem 11.7. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank r of a simple 3-polytope P . Then $N(P, \lambda)$ is a hyperelliptic manifold with a hyperelliptic involution lying in the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^r = H'_0$ of orientation preserving involutions canonically acting on $N(P, \lambda)$ if and only if $1 \leq r \leq 4$ and one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $I(\lambda) = \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r+1}\}$ is a boolean r -simplex, $1 \leq r \leq 4$, and at least for one vector $\tau = \mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{p}_j$, $i \neq j$, the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(3, r)$. Each hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ has this form and there are at most $\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ such involutions. More precisely, an involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is hyperelliptic if and only if $\tau = \mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{p}_j$, $i \neq j$, and for
- $r = 1$ it is equal to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. This is always a unique hyperelliptic involution.
 - $r = 2$ the set $G(\mathbf{p}_k)$, $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is a disk. There can be 0, 1, 2, or 3 such involutions.
 - $r = 3$ each set $G(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_k)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_l)$, $\{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is a disk. There can be 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6 such involutions.
 - $r = 4$ each set $G(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_k)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_l)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_s)$, $\{i, j, k, l, s\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ is a disk and any two of these disks intersect. There can be 0, 1, 2 or 6 hyperelliptic involutions.

The classification of complexes with more than one hyperelliptic involution and the corresponding manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$ is presented in Fig. 2.

- (2) $I(\lambda) = \Pi^2 * \Delta^{r-3}$, $2 \leq r \leq 4$, where $\Pi^2 = \{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a boolean 2-plane and $\Delta^{r-3} = \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r-2}\}$ is a boolean simplex, and at least for one vector $\tau = \mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{q}_j$, $i \neq j$, the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(3, r)$. Each hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ has this form and there are at most three such involutions. More precisely, an involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ is hyperelliptic if and only if $\tau = \mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{q}_k + \mathbf{q}_l$ for some partition $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{i, j\} \sqcup \{k, l\}$, and one of the following conditions hold
- $r = 2$ and $G(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j)$ is a disk (then $G(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{q}_l)$ is also a disk bounded by the same Hamiltonian cycle Γ in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$). There can be 0, 1, 2, or 3 such involutions. Moreover, there are $k \geq 2$ hyperelliptic involutions if and only if $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is a connected 3-valent graph and Γ is a k -Hamiltonian cycle in it. For $k = 3$ additionally $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \partial Q$ for a simple 3-polytope Q .
 - $r = 3$ and each set $G(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j)$, $G(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{q}_l)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is a disk. There can be 0, 1, 2 or 3 such involutions. Moreover, if there are 2 hyperelliptic involutions, then $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is a quadrangle, a triangle, or a bigon, and the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ can be reduced to a complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda')$ for an affine coloring λ' of rank 2 either
 - with 2 or 3 hyperelliptic involutions by reductions (a)-(d), or (f) in Fig. 3, or
 - with 2 hyperelliptic involutions by reduction (e).
 If there are 3 hyperelliptic involutions, then $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is a triangle and $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ can be reduced to $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda')$ of rank 2 with 3 hyperelliptic involutions by reduction (e).
 - $r = 4$ each set $G(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j)$, $G(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{q}_l)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ is a disk and any two of these disks intersect. There can be 0, 1 or 2 hyperelliptic involutions. Moreover, if there are 2 hyperelliptic involutions, then $G(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)$ is a quadrangle, a triangle, or a 2-gon, and the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ can be reduced to a complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda')$ for an affine coloring λ' of rank 2 with 2 or 3 hyperelliptic involutions by reductions (a)-(f) in Fig. 3.




FIGURE 2. All complexes with more than one hyperelliptic involution for the case when $I(\lambda)$ is a boolean simplex. On the top we write the homeomorphism type of $N(P, \lambda)$, where $k \geq 0$ is the number of dashed circles

- (3) $I(\lambda) = \Pi^k * \Delta^{r-k-1}$, $r \geq k \geq 3$, and for the main direction $\tau = \mathbf{l}$ of Π^k the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(3, r)$. In this case the main direction is a unique hyperelliptic involution in \mathbb{Z}_2^r .

Proof. The proof essentially follows from Propositions 8.11 and 8.12, Lemma 10.14, Theorem 10.1, and Corollary 10.7.

We need to prove only statements concerning the enumeration of special hyperelliptic involutions in \mathbb{Z}_2^r and the classification of complexes with more than two involutions.

Let $I(\lambda) = \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r+1}\}$ be a boolean r -simplex, $1 \leq r \leq 4$. The case $r = 1$ is trivial.




FIGURE 3. Reductions for complexes with 2 and 3 hyperelliptic involutions for $r = 3$ and $|I(\lambda)| = 5$. By dotted and dashed lines we mark possible edges for the case $r = 4$ and $|I(\lambda)| = 6$

Let $r = 2$. If all the facets $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_3)$ are disks, then $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is a theta-graph (Fig. 2(a)) by Lemma 10.14. If two facets $G(\mathbf{p}_i)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_j)$ are disks and the third facet $G(\mathbf{p}_k)$ is not, then we have the complex draw in Fig. 2(b).

Let $r = 3$. Assume that $G(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_3)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_4)$ are disks, that is the involution $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$ is hyperelliptic. The involution $\mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4$ is hyperelliptic if and only if both $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ are also disks. We obtain two complexed drawn in Fig. 2(c) and (d). They have 6 and 4 hyperelliptic involutions respectively. Now assume that one of these facets is not a disk, say $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$. Then there are at most 3 hyperelliptic involutions and all of them have the form $\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_i$. If either $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is not a disk, or it is a disk and does not intersect the disk $G(\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$, then $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$ is a unique hyperelliptic involution. Thus, we can assume that $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is a disk and it intersects the disk $G(\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$. Then their intersection consists of $k + 2 \geq 2$ disjoint segments, $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ a disjoint union of $k + 2$ disks, and the combinatorics of the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ depends on the position of the edge $G(\mathbf{p}_3) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_4)$ in the disk $G(\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$ in relation to these $k + 2$ disk, see Fig. 4(a). If $G(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_2)$ is a disk for $i = 3, 4$, then $G(\mathbf{p}_i)$ intersects each connected component of $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$. In particular, if this holds for both $i = 3$ and $i = 4$, we obtain the complex in Fig. 4(b) and in Fig. 2(e). If only this holds only for one index, say $i = 3$, then we obtain complexes in Fig. 4(c)-(f). The complexes (c), (d), and (e) correspond to the complexes in Fig. 2(h), (f), and (g), and for the complex (f) the set $G(\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3)$ is a cylinder.

Let $r = 4$. Assume that $G(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_3)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_4)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_5)$ are pairwise intersecting disks, that is the involution $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$ is hyperelliptic. If some of the involutions $\mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4$, $\mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_5$, $\mathbf{p}_4 + \mathbf{p}_5$ is hyperelliptic, then both $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ are disks, and they are glued to the disk $G(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)$ along the common edge $G(\mathbf{p}_1) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_2)$. If the ends of this edge belong to the same disk




FIGURE 4. A complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ when $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$ are intersecting disks, and $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ is not a disk

$G(\mathbf{p}_i)$, $i = 3, 4, 5$, then we obtain the complex in Fig. 2(j) with $k = 0$ dashed circles. It has 2 hyperelliptic involutions. If the ends of $G(\mathbf{p}_1) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ belong to different disks $G(\mathbf{p}_i)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_j)$, then we obtain the complex in Fig. 2(i) with 6 hyperelliptic involutions. Now assume that one of the facets $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ is not a disk, say $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$. Then there are at most 4 hyperelliptic involutions and all of them have the form $\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_i$. If either $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is not a disk, or it is a disk and does not intersect the disk $G(\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4, \mathbf{p}_5)$, then $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$ is a unique hyperelliptic involution. Thus, we can assume that $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is a disk and it intersects the disk $G(\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4, \mathbf{p}_5)$. Then their intersection consists of $k + 2 \geq 2$ disjoint segments, $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ a disjoint union of $k + 2$ disks, and the combinatorics of the complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ depends on the positions of the ends of the edges $G(\mathbf{p}_3) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_4)$, $G(\mathbf{p}_4) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_5)$, and $G(\mathbf{p}_5) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_3)$ on the circle $\partial G(\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4, \mathbf{p}_5)$ in relation to these $k + 2$ disk, see Fig. 5(a). If $G(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_2)$ is a disk for $i = 3, 4, 5$, then $G(\mathbf{p}_i)$ intersects each connected component of $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$. In particular, this can not hold for all $i \in \{3, 4, 5\}$. If this holds for two values of i , say $i = 3$ and 4, then we obtain the complex in Fig. 5(b) without dashed arcs. Now assume that only one set $G(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_2)$ is a disk, say for $i = 3$. We obtain complexes in Fig. 5(b)-(g). In the complexes (b), (d), and (g) the facet $G(\mathbf{p}_5)$ does not intersect $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$, hence they have a unique hyperelliptic involution $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$. The complexes (c), (e), and (f) have two hyperelliptic involutions and correspond to complexes (l), (k), and (j) in Fig. 2 (the latter with $k \geq 1$ dashed circles).

The homeomorphism type of manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$ corresponding to complexes in Fig. 2 follow directly from Lemma 10.5.

If $I(\lambda) = \{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4\} \simeq \Pi^2$, then special hyperelliptic involutions are exactly sums $\mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{q}_k + \mathbf{q}_l$ corresponding to partitions $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{i, j\} \sqcup \{k, l\}$ such that $G(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j)$ is a disk (as well as its complement $G(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{q}_l)$). The boundary of this disk is a Hamiltonian cycle in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$. There can be one, two or three such partitions corresponding to a Hamiltonian cycle as it is shown in Fig. 6, 10, and 9.

Lemma 11.8. *If $I(\lambda) \simeq \Pi^2$ and there are at least two hyperelliptic involutions in \mathbb{Z}_2^2 , then $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ has no circles.*

Proof. Indeed, each circle γ is a boundary component of two facets G_1 and G_2 of different colors \mathbf{q}_i and \mathbf{q}_j . At least for one partition $\{i, k\} \sqcup \{j, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the sets $G(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_k)$ and $G(\mathbf{q}_j, \mathbf{q}_l)$ are disks. Hence, their common boundary is γ . Since each disk consists of facets of two colors,




FIGURE 5. A complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ when $G(\mathbf{p}_1)$ and $G(\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4, \mathbf{p}_4)$ are intersecting disks, and $G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ is not a disk




FIGURE 6. The Hamiltonian cycle on the 5-prism

each of the facets G_1 and G_2 has more than one boundary components and each component different from γ leads to the facet of the color \mathbf{q}_k for G_1 and \mathbf{q}_l for G_2 . But both sets $G(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{q}_j)$ and $G(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{q}_l)$ are disconnected, and they can not be disks. A contradiction. \square

The group \mathbb{Z}_2^2 contains three hyperelliptic involutions if and only if for each of the three partitions $\{i, j\} \sqcup \{k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the sets $G(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j)$ are disks. This holds if and only if the boundary of any of these disks is a 3-Hamiltonian cycle in $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$. By Lemma 13.5 $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \partial Q$ for a simple 3-polytope Q , since $\mathcal{C}^1(P, \lambda)$ is not a theta-graph for $|I(\lambda)| = 4$.

Assume that $I(\lambda) = \{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4\} * \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r-2}\}$, $r \geq 3$. An involution τ is hyperelliptic if and only if $\tau = \mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{q}_k + \mathbf{q}_l$ and $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, r)$. Assume that there are at least two such involutions. For each of them the sets $G(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j)$, $G(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{q}_l)$, and $G(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r-2})$ are disks, and these disks are facets of a theta-graph $\Theta_{i,j}$. Without loss of generality assume that hyperelliptic involutions correspond to partitions $\{1, 2\} \sqcup \{3, 4\}$ and $\{1, 3\} \sqcup \{2, 4\}$. Consider the vertices of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ lying on the boundary of the disk $G(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r-2})$ and corresponding to edges lying outside this disk. Each edge is an intersection of two facets of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ of different colors. Let

us assign this pair of colors to the corresponding vertex. Then the two vertices corresponding to the vertices of $\Theta_{1,2}$ have colors (a, b) , $a \in \{1, 2\}$, $b \in \{3, 4\}$, and all the other vertices – $(1, 2)$ and $(3, 4)$. Each vertex of types $(1, 2)$ and $(3, 4)$ necessarily corresponds to a vertex of $\Theta_{1,3}$. Therefore, there are at most two such vertices, and $G(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r-2})$ is a quadrangle, a triangle, or a bigon (for $r = 4$ we do not take into account the vertices of $G(\mathbf{p}_1) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_2)$). If there are two vertices, then either they both correspond to one type, say $(1, 2)$, and we obtain the configuration in Fig. 3(a),(b), or they correspond to two types and up to a renumbering of colors we obtain the configuration in Fig. 3(c),(d). In the first case we can change the colors at all the facets of P corresponding to $G(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r-2})$ to 2 (or to 3) to obtain the reduction (a), or to 1 to obtain the reduction (b). In the second case we can change the colors to 2 (or 3) to obtain the reduction (c), or to 1 (or 4) to obtain (d). In all these cases each of the two Hamiltonian theta-graphs or K_4 -graphs is reduced to a Hamiltonian cycle. Moreover, in both cases the third partition $\{1, 4\} \sqcup \{2, 3\}$ does not give a Hamiltonian theta-graph (or a K_4 -graph), while for the reduced complex $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda')$ it can give. For $r = 4$ the edge $G(\mathbf{p}_1) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ in the first case should have one vertex lying on the boundary of a facet of color 2 and the other – of color 3, and in the second case these vertices can lie either on the boundaries of facets of colors 1 and 4, or 2 and 3.

If there is only one vertex of types $(1, 2)$ or $(3, 4)$, then up to a renumbering of colors we obtain the configuration in Fig. 3(e). Changing the colors to 1 (or 2, or 3) we obtain the reduction (e). For $r = 3$ the reduced complex has the same number of Hamiltonian subgraphs corresponding to the partitions of colors. For $r = 4$ the vertices of the edge $G(\mathbf{p}_1) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ should lie on the boundaries of facets of colors 2 and 3, and the third partition can not give the Hamiltonian K_4 -graph, while for the reduced complex it can give.

If there are no vertices of types $(1, 2)$ and $(3, 4)$, then up to a renumbering of colors we obtain the configuration in Fig. 3 (f). In this case both for the complex and for the reduced complex the third partition does not give the Hamiltonian theta-graph (K_4 -graph). For $r = 4$ the vertices of the edge $G(\mathbf{p}_1) \cap G(\mathbf{p}_2)$ should lie on the boundaries of facets of colors 1 and 4.

If $I(\lambda) = \Pi^k * \Delta^{r-k-1}$, $r \geq k \geq 3$, then by Proposition 8.12 the main direction is a unique hyperelliptic involution. This finishes the proof. \square

Example 11.9. Example 8.17 implies that for a simple 3-polytope P hyperelliptic manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$ of rank r with affinely independent colorings λ and a hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ correspond to Hamiltonian cycles, Hamiltonian theta-subgraphs and Hamiltonian K_4 -subgraphs of P for $r = 2, 3$, and 4 respectively. Indeed, in this example we showed how a manifold $N(P, \lambda)$ gives a Hamiltonian subgraph, and Construction 11.2 gives the manifold from a subgraph.

For right-angled 3-polytopes in one of the geometries \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{S}^3 , $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, these are exactly examples built in [M90] and [VM99S2]. The same manifolds arise for the pairs (P, λ) with $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ equivalent to boundaries of right-angled polytopes. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is not equivalent to a boundary of a right-angled polytope, then our manifolds are not reduced to the examples from [M90] and [VM99S2].

12. RATIONAL HOMOLOGY SPHERES $N(P, \lambda)$ OVER 3-POLYTOPES

In this section we will classify all rational homology 3-spheres $N(P, \Lambda)$ over simple 3-polytopes P .

Definition 12.1. We call a topological space X a *rational homology n -sphere* (n -RHS), if X is a closed topological n -manifold and $H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H_k(S^n, \mathbb{Q})$ for all k .

We will use the following result, which was first proved for small covers and \mathbb{Q} coefficients in [ST12, T12]. Let us identify the subsets $\omega \subset [m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ with vectors in $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ by the rule $\omega = \{i: x_i = 1\}$. For a vector-coloring Λ of rank $(r + 1)$ denote by $\text{row } \Lambda$ the subspace in \mathbb{Z}_2^m generated by the row vectors of the matrix Λ . Equivalently,

$$\text{row } \Lambda = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m: \exists \mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1})^*: x_i = \mathbf{c}\Lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Remind that $P_\omega = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} F_i$.

Theorem 12.2. [CP17, Theorem 4.5] *Let Λ be a vector-coloring of rank $(r + 1)$ of a simple n -polytope P and R be a commutative ring in which 2 is a unit. Then there is an R -linear isomorphism*

$$H^k(N(P, \Lambda), R) \simeq \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{row } \Lambda} \tilde{H}^{k-1}(P_\omega, R)$$

Remark 12.3. Originally, the theorem is formulated for a simplicial complexes K and its full subcomplexes K_ω , but for a simple polytope P and a simplicial complex $K = \partial P^*$ there is a homotopy equivalence $K_\omega \simeq P_\omega$, see [BP15, The proof of Proposition 3.2.11].

The universal coefficients formula and the Poincare duality imply

Lemma 12.4. *A 3-manifold M is a rational homology 3-sphere if and only if it is orientable, and $H^1(M, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.*

Let us remind that an orientable manifold $N(P, \Lambda)$ is defined by a an affine coloring λ of rank r , where for some change of coordinates in \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1} we have $\Lambda_i = (1, \lambda_i)$.

Theorem 12.5. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank r of a simple 3-polytope P . The space $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology 3-sphere if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*

- (1) $\bigcup_{i: \lambda_i \in \pi} F_i$ is a disk for any affine hyperplane $\pi \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$;
- (2) $\bigcup_{i: \lambda_i \in \pi} F_i$ is a disk for any affine hyperplane $\pi \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ passing through some pint $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$.

Proof. Linear functions $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^{r+1})^*$ correspond to affine functions on \mathbb{Z}_2^r . Then $H^1(N(P, \lambda), \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ if and only if for any affine function \mathbf{c} we have $\tilde{H}^0(P_\omega, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for ω corresponding to the vector $(\mathbf{c}(\lambda_1), \dots, \mathbf{c}(\lambda_m))$. There are two constant affine functions. For $\mathbf{0}$ we have $P_\omega = \emptyset$, and for $\mathbf{1}$ we have $P_\omega = \partial P \simeq S^2$. All the other affine functions \mathbf{c} correspond to affine hyperplanes $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. For each affine hyperplane the set P_ω should be connected. This set is a disjoint union of spheres with holes, and the complementary hyperplane corresponds to the complementary

set. Both sets are connected if and only if they are disks, which is equivalent to the fact that one of them is a disk. Since for any affine hyperplane in \mathbb{Z}_2^r the point \mathbf{p} either lies in this plane or in the complementary hyperplane, items (1) and (2) are equivalent. \square

Proposition 12.6. *If a 3-manifold $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology sphere, then*

- *either $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, r + 1)$, $0 \leq r \leq 2$ (in this case $N(P, \lambda) \simeq S^3$),*
- *or $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(Q, \lambda')$ for an affinely independent coloring λ' of a simple 3-polytope Q (in this case $N(P, \lambda) \simeq S^3$ if and only if $Q = \Delta^3$ and $r = 3$, which is equivalent to the fact that $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, r + 1)$ and $r = 3$).*

Proof. Indeed, Corollaries 10.4 and 10.6 imply that if $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology sphere, then each facet of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is a disk and any two such disks either do not intersect or intersect by an edge. Then by the Steinitz theorem either $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, r + 1)$ for $0 \leq r \leq 2$, or $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \partial Q$ for a simple 3-polytope Q with an induced affinely independent coloring λ' .

On the other hand, Proposition 12.6 can be proved directly using Theorem 12.5. Namely, for $r \leq 1$ it is clear. For $r \geq 2$ if a facet G_i of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is a sphere with more than 2 holes, then we can take an affine hyperplane in \mathbb{Z}_2^r containing λ_i but not λ_j and λ_k for facets G_j and G_k lying in different holes to obtain a contradiction. If each facet of $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda)$ is a disk and an intersection of two different facets G_i and G_j is a disjoint set of at least two edges, then one of these edges intersects two additional facets G_k and G_l . Then we can take an affine hyperplane containing λ_i and λ_j but not λ_k and λ_l to obtain a contradiction. \square

Corollary 12.7. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank r of a simple 3-polytope P . If a 3-manifold $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology, then for any subgroup $H' \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^r = H'_0$ the space $N(P, \lambda)/H' = N(P, \lambda')$ is also a rational homology sphere.*

Proof. Indeed, affine hyperplanes π' in \mathbb{Z}_2^r/H' bijectively correspond to affine hyperplanes π in \mathbb{Z}_2^r parallel to H' . Then $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i + H \subset \pi'$ if and only if $\lambda_i \in \pi$, and $\bigcup_{i: \lambda'_i \in \pi'} F_i = \bigcup_{i: \lambda_i \in \pi} F_i$. \square

Remark 12.8. Corollary 12.7 also directly follows from Theorem 1.19.

Example 12.9. For $r = 0$ we have $N(P, \lambda) \simeq S^3$ and the condition of Theorem 12.5 is trivial.

For $r = 1$ Theorem 12.5 implies that $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology sphere if and only if $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, 2)$. In this case $N(P, \lambda) \simeq S^3$.

For $r = 2$ Theorem 12.5 and Proposition 12.6 imply that $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology sphere if and only if either $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, 3)$ (in this case $N(P, \lambda) \simeq S^3$) or $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \partial Q$ for a simple 3-polytope Q with the induced affinely independent coloring λ' , and $\bigcup_{i: \lambda'_i \in \pi} F'_i$ is a disk

for any line in \mathbb{Z}_2^2 . There are six lines and each pair of parallel lines corresponds to a partition of \mathbb{Z}_2^2 into a pair of points such that for each pair the union of facets of Q of the corresponding colors is a disk. Moreover, each vertex of Q lies on the boundary of each disk. Thus, taking into account item (2) of Theorem 11.7 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 12.10. *Let λ be an affine coloring of rank 2 of a simple 3-polytope P . Then $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology 3-sphere if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions hold:*

- (1) either $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, 3)$ or $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \partial Q$, where Q is a simple 3-polytope, and λ is induced by a 3-Hamiltonian cycle on it.
- (2) each nonzero involution in \mathbb{Z}_2^2 is hyperelliptic.

In Fig. 7, 8, and 9 we show that the simplex Δ^3 , the 3-prism $\Delta \times I$ and the dodecahedron admit a 3-Hamiltonian cycle. Examples of such polytopes are also shown in Fig. 14.




FIGURE 7. Three Hamiltonian cycles on the simplex




FIGURE 8. Three Hamiltonian cycles on the 3-prism




FIGURE 9. Three Hamiltonian cycles on the dodecahedron

On the other hand, not any simple 3-polytope admits a 3-Hamiltonian cycle. For example, the cube up to symmetries has only one Hamiltonian cycle drawn in Fig. 10 on the left. If we draw the facets of the cube in four colors using the Hamiltonian cycle and group colors into pairs in three different possible ways, then we see that two partitions give Hamiltonian cycles




FIGURE 10. The Hamiltonian cycle of the cube

and one partition gives two disjoint cycles. Thus, the 3-cube does not admit a small cover that is a rational homology sphere.

More details on simple 3-polytopes admitting a 3-Hamiltonian cycle see in Section 13.

For $r = 3$ Theorem 12.5 (applied for the point $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$) and Proposition 12.6 imply that $N(P, \lambda)$ is a rational homology sphere if and only if either $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}(3, 4)$ (in this case $N(P, \lambda) \simeq S^3$) or $\mathcal{C}(P, \lambda) \simeq \partial Q$ for a simple 3-polytope Q with the induced affinely independent coloring λ' such that $\bigcup_{i: a(\lambda'_i)=0} F'_i$ is a disk for any vector $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^3)^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. For short we will identify the point

$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ with the number $4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3$ having the corresponding binary expression. The vectors $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^3)^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ correspond to partitions of \mathbb{Z}_2^3 into two parallel hyperplanes consisting of four points:

$(0,0,1)$	$0,2,4,6$	$1,3,5,7$
$(0,1,0)$	$0,1,4,5$	$2,3,6,7$
$(0,1,1)$	$0,3,4,7$	$1,2,5,6$
$(1,0,0)$	$0,1,2,3$	$4,5,6,7$
$(1,0,1)$	$0,2,5,7$	$1,3,4,6$
$(1,1,0)$	$0,1,6,7$	$2,3,4,5$
$(1,1,1)$	$0,3,5,6$	$1,2,4,7$

An example of the cube with an affinely independent coloring of rank 3 producing an *RHS* is shown in Fig. 11. It can be proved that up to a symmetry this is a unique affine coloring with these properties.




FIGURE 11. The cube with an affine coloring of rank 3 producing an *RHS*

An example of the 5-prism with an affinely independent coloring of rank 3 producing an *RHS* is shown in Fig. 12.




FIGURE 12. The 5-prism with an affine coloring of rank 3 producing an *RHS*

An example of of the dodecahedron with an affinely independent coloring of rank 3 producing an *RHS* is shown in Fig. 13. In Fig. 14 we show its affine colorings of rank 2 corresponding to factorisations by 1-dimensional subgroups in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 .




FIGURE 13. The dodecahedron with an 8-coloring producing an *RHS*

Example 12.11. The simplex in Fig. 7, the 3-prism in Fig. 8, the cube in Fig. 11, the 5-prism in Fig. 12, and the dodecahedron in Fig. 9 and 13 give examples of manifolds that are rational homology 3-spheres and admit geometric structures modelled on S^3 , $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, \mathbb{R}^3 , $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and \mathbb{H}^3 respectively.




FIGURE 14. The dodecahedron with 4-colorings arising after factorisation of the 8-coloring from Fig. 13 by 1-dimensional subgroups in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 . Each subgroup is generated by a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ and gives the identification $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ if $\lambda_i + \mathbf{x} = \lambda_j$.

13. SIMPLE 3-POLYTOPES WITH 3 CONSISTENT HAMILTONIAN CYCLES

13.1. **General facts.** In this section we will discuss simple 3-polytopes P admitting a 3-Hamiltonian cycle. Such a cycle corresponds to 3 *consistent Hamiltonian cycles* such that each

edge of P belongs to exactly two of them. This is exactly a *Hamiltonian double cover* in terminology of the paper [F06]. The graphs of such polytopes are *strongly Hamiltonian* in terminology of [K63], that is they are regular (any vertex has the same degree) and *perfectly 1-factorable* (see Definition 11.4). Each of the three consistent Hamiltonian cycles is a 3-Hamiltonian cycle and defines the other two. In our paper three consistent Hamiltonian cycles arise in the classification of

- (1) hyperelliptic manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$ in Theorem 11.7. They correspond to hyperelliptic manifolds $N(P, \lambda)$ with λ of rank 2 and $|I(\lambda)| = 4$ having exactly three hyperelliptic involutions in \mathbb{Z}_2^2 .
- (2) rational homology 3-spheres in Theorem 12.5 and Proposition 12.10. They correspond to rational homology 3-spheres $N(P, \lambda)$ with λ of rank 2 and $|I(\lambda)| = 4$.

13.2. Polytopes without 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles. In Section 12 we showed that the simplex Δ^3 , the 3-prism $\Delta \times I$ and the dodecahedron admit three consistent Hamiltonian cycles, and the cube I^3 does not admit. It is not difficult to show that a situation similar to the case of the cube arises for all the k -prisms with $k \geq 5$. Namely, for k odd up to combinatorial symmetries there is a unique Hamiltonian cycle shown in Fig. 15, which exists for any k . For k even there is also the second Hamiltonian cycle shown in Fig. 16. Thus, k -prisms do not admit small covers that are rational homology spheres for $k \geq 4$.




FIGURE 15. A Hamiltonian cycle on the k -prism




FIGURE 16. A Hamiltonian cycle on the $2k$ -prism

Moreover, there is the following result generalizing the case of $(2k)$ -prisms.

Definition 13.1. A graph G is called *bipartite* if its vertices can be divided into two disjoint sets such that any edge connects vertices from different sets.

Any $(2k)$ -prism has a bipartite graph. It is easy to see that if a simple 3-polytope P has a bipartite graph, then any its face has an even number of edges. The converse is also true.

Lemma 13.2. *A simple 3-polytope P has a bipartite graph if and only if any its face has an even number of edges.*

Proof. One of the ways to prove the lemma is to use the fact that any face of a simple 3-polytope P has an even number of edges if and only if the facets of P can be colored in 3 colors such that any two adjacent facets have different colors (see the proof in [I01, J01]). Then the vertices where the colors 1, 2, and 3 follow each other clockwise and counterclockwise give the desired partition of the vertex set of the graph. \square

Theorem 13.3. [K62, Theorem 3] *If G is a plane cubic bipartite graph, then G cannot possibly have a Hamiltonian double cover.*

Corollary 13.4. *If a simple 3-polytope P has three consistent Hamiltonian cycles, then P has a facet with an odd number of edges.*

A short proof of Theorem 13.3 was given in [F06, Theorem 12]. It is based on two facts.

Lemma 13.5. [F06, Remark 10] *Let G be a connected 3-valent planar graph. If it admits three consistent Hamiltonian cycles, then either G is a theta-graph or a graph of a simple 3-polytope.*

Proof. Indeed, G can not have loops. If G has two edges with the same ends, then one of the Hamiltonian cycles consists of these two edges. Then G has no other vertices and G is the theta-graph. Thus, we can assume that the graph G is simple. If the boundary cycle of some its facet is not simple, then there is a bridge which belongs to all the three Hamiltonian cycles. A contradiction. If the boundary cycles of two facets have in common two disjoint edges, then the deletion of these edges makes the graph disconnected. Hence, all the three Hamiltonian cycles contain these edges, which is a contradiction. Then the graph G is simple and 3-connected and by the Steinitz theorem it corresponds to a boundary of a simple 3-polytope. \square

Lemma 13.6. [F06, Remark 11] *If a simple 3-polytope P admits 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles and P has a quadrangular facet, then there is a pair of opposite edges of this facet such that the deletion of them produces the theta-graph or a graph of another simple 3-polytope Q with 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles.*

13.3. Reductions. The reduction from Lemma 13.6 can be generalized as follows. If a simple 3-polytope P has 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles and a triangular facet, then this facet can be shrunk to a point to produce either the theta-graph or a graph of another simple 3-polytope Q with three induced consistent Hamiltonian cycles. More generally, if P has a 3-belt, that is a triple of facets (F_i, F_j, F_k) such that any two of them are adjacent and $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k = \emptyset$, then the boundary of P can be cut along the triangle connecting midpoints of $F_i \cap F_j$, $F_j \cap F_k$ and $F_k \cap F_i$ and each arising triangle can be shrunk to a point to produce two simple polytopes

Q_1 and Q_2 such that P is a connected sum of Q_1 and Q_2 at vertices. Then P has 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles if and only if Q_1 and Q_2 both have this property.

If P has a 4-belt, that is a cyclic sequence of facets (F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l) such that the facets are adjacent if and only if they follow each other, then P can be similarly cut along this belt to two simple polytopes Q_1 and Q_2 such that P is a connected sum of Q_1 and Q_2 along quadrangles. It turns out that there can be Q_1 and Q_2 both admitting no 3-Hamiltonian cycles such that P admits. The example is given by the connected sum of two 5-prisms along quadrangles such that the prisms are “twisted”: base facets of one prism correspond to side facets of the other. We proved above that 5-prisms does not admit 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles, while the resulting polytope admits, as it is shown on Fig. 17.




FIGURE 17. Three consistent Hamiltonian cycles on the connected sum of two 5-prisms along quadrangles

Problem 1. *To find a set of reductions and a set of initial polytopes such that any simple 3-polytope P with a 3-Hamiltonian cycle can be reduced to an initial polytope by a sequence of these reductions in such a way that all intermediate polytopes also have a 3-Hamiltonian cycle.*

13.4. **Fullerenes.** *Fullerenes* are simple 3-polytopes with all facets pentagons and hexagons. They model spherical carbon atoms. As was shown by F. Kardoš in [K14] any fullerene admits a Hamiltonian cycle (it is not valid for all simple 3-polytopes, see [T46, G68]). The simplest fullerene is the dodecahedron. As we have shown above it admits 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles. The next fullerene is the 6-barrel shown in Fig. 19. It is also known as a *Löbell polytope* $L(6)$ (see [V87]). Using the fact that locally near any 6-gon a Hamiltonian cycle has one of the types shown in Fig. 18 it is easy to see that up to combinatorial symmetries the 6-barrel




FIGURE 18. Local forms of a Hamiltonian cycle near a 6-gon




FIGURE 19. Hamiltonian cycles on the 6-barrel

has only four Hamiltonian cycles shown in Fig. 19. Each of these cycles can not be included to the triple of consistent Hamiltonian cycles. Thus, the 6-barrel does not admit 3 consistent Hamiltonian cycles.

14. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Victor Buchstaber for bringing him to science, for energy and permanent attention.

The author is grateful to Dmitry Gugin for the introduction to the theory of actions of finite groups on manifolds and for fruitful discussions. These discussions lead to the formulation and proof of Theorem 5.1 and Example 5.9, and Proposition 6.2 and Example 6.3. The author is also grateful to Vladimir Shastin for the idea to consider 3-manifolds $N(P, \Lambda)$ that are rational homology spheres.

REFERENCES

- [AGo24] Anton Ayzenberg, Vladimir Gorchakov. *Toric orbit spaces which are manifolds*. Arnold Math J. (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40598-023-00242-5>
- [AGu23] Anton Ayzenberg and Dmitry Gugin. *On actions of tori and quaternionic tori on products of spheres*. arXiv:2309.05611v1. Submitted to Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.
- [B72] Glen E. Bredon. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*. Academic press. New York. 1972.

- [BEMPP17] Victor M. Buchstaber, Nikolay Erokhovets, Mikiya Masuda, Taras Panov, Seonjeong Park. *Cohomological rigidity of manifolds defined by 3-dimensional polytopes*. Russian Mathematical Surveys, 2017, **72** (2), 199–256, DOI:10.1070/RM9759.
- [BE17S] Victor Buchstaber and Nikolay Erokhovets. *Fullerenes, Polytopes and Toric Topology*. In Volume 35 Combinatorial and Toric Homotopy: Introductory Lectures of Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap.; World Sci. Publ.: River Edge, NJ, USA, 2017; P. 67–178, arXiv: math.CO/160902949.
- [BE17I] Victor Buchstaber and Nikolay Erokhovets. *Construction of families of three-dimensional polytopes, characteristic patches of fullerenes and Pogorelov polytopes*. Izvestiya: Mathematics, **81**:5 (2017), P. 901–972.
- [BP15] Victor Buchstaber and Taras Panov, *Toric Topology*. Math. Surv. and Monogr., 204, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [CP17] S. Choi and H. Park, *On the cohomology and their torsion of real toric objects*, Forum Math. 29 (2017), no. 3, 543–553, arXiv:1311.7056v1.
- [D08] M.W. Davis, *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups*. London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser., vol. 32, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ 2008, xvi+584 pp.
- [DJ91] M.W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. 2, 417–451.
- [DJS98] M.Davis, T.Januszkiewicz and R.Scott. *Nonpositive curvature of blow-ups*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 4:4 (1998), 491–547.
- [E22M] N.Yu. Erokhovets, *Canonical geometrization of orientable 3-manifolds defined by vector colourings of 3-polytopes*, Sb. Math., 213:6 (2022), 752–793.
- [F06] Hans L. Fetter, *Some basic properties of multiple Hamiltonian covers*, Discrete Applied Mathematics 154 (2006) 1803 – 1815.
- [G23] Vladimir Gorchakov, *Equivariantly formal 2-torus actions of complexity one*, arXiv:2304.00936v1.
- [G68] E.Ya. Grinberg, *Plane homogeneous graphs of degree three without Hamiltonian cycles*. Latvian mathematical annual, Riga, Zinatne, vol. **IV** (1968), 51–56.
- [Gb03] Branko Grünbaum. *Convex polytopes* (2nd Edition). Graduate texts in Mathematics, **221**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [G19] D.V. Gugin. *Branched coverings of manifolds and nH -spaces*. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **53**(2019), no.2, 68–71 (in Russian); English translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **53**(2019), no.2, 133–136.
- [I01] I.V. Izmistiev, *Three-dimensional manifolds defined by coloring a simple polytope*, Math. Notes 69 (3), 340–346 (2001) [transl. from Mat. Zametki 69 (3), 375–382 (2001)].
- [J01] M. Joswig, *The group of projectivities and colouring of the facets of a simple polytope* Russ. Math. Surv. 56 (3), 584–585 (2001) [transl. from Usp. Mat. Nauk 56 (3), 171–172 (2001)].
- [K14] F. Kardoš. *A computer-assisted proof of a Barnette’s conjecture: Not only fullerene graphs are Hamiltonian*. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, **34**:1 (2020), 10.1137/140984737, arXiv: math.CO/14092440.
- [K62] A. Kotzig, *Construction of third-order Hamiltonian graphs*, Casopis Pest. Mat. 87 (1962) 148–168 (in Russian).
- [K63] A. Kotzig, *Hamilton graphs and Hamilton circuits*, in: Theory of Graphs and its Applications, Proceedings of the Symposium held in Smolenice in June 1963, Publ. House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Academic Press, New York, 1964, pp. 63–82.
- [L19] C. Lange, *When is the underlying space of an orbifold a manifold?* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), 2799–2828.
- [LM16] C. Lange, M.A. Mikhailova, *Classification of finite groups generated by reflections and rotations*, Transformation Groups 21:4 (2016), 1155–1201.
- [M85] M.A. Mikhailova, *On the quotient space modulo the action of a finite group generated by pseudoreflections*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 1985, Volume 24, Issue 1, 99–119.
- [M90] A.D. Mednykh. *Three-dimensional hyperelliptic manifolds*. Ann. Global. Anal. Geom., **8**:1 (1990), 13–19.

- [NN05] H. Nakayama, Y. Nishimura, *The orientability of small covers and colouring simple polytopes*, Osaka J. Math. 42:1 (2005), 243–256.
- [S09] O.G. Styrts, *On the orbit space of a compact linear Lie group with commutative connected component*, Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society, 70 (2009), 171–206.
- [ST12] A. Suciuc, A. Trevisan, *Real toric varieties and abelian covers of generalized Davis-Januszkiewicz spaces*, preprint, 2012.
- [T12] A. Trevisan, *Generalized Davis-Januszkiewicz spaces and their applications in algebra and topology*, Ph.D. thesis, Vrije University Amsterdam, 2012; available at <http://dspace.uvu.vu.nl/handle/1871/32835>.
- [T46] W.T. Tutte. *On Hamiltonian circuits*. J. London. Math. Soc. **21** (1946), 98–101.
- [V87] Andrei Yu. Vesnin. *Three-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds of Löbell type*. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **28** (1987), no. 5, 50–53 (Russian); Siberian Math. J. **28** (1987), no. 5, 731–734 (English translation).
- [VM99M] A.Yu. Vesnin, A.D. Mednykh. *Spherical Coxeter groups and hyperelliptic 3-manifolds*. Mathematical Notes, **66** (1999), 135–138.
- [VM99S1] A.Yu. Vesnin, A.D. Mednykh. *Three-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds of small volume with three hyperelliptic involutions*. Siberian Math. J., 40:5 (1999), 873–886.
- [VM99S2] A.Yu. Vesnin, A.D. Mednykh. *Three-dimensional hyperelliptic manifolds and Hamiltonian graphs*, Siberian Math. J., 40:4 (1999), 628–643.
- [V17] A.Yu. Vesnin. *Right-angled polyhedra and hyperbolic 3-manifolds*. Russian Math. Surveys, 72:2 (2017), 335–374.
- [Z95] G.M. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Grad. Texts in Math., V. 152, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES & DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS
AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY

Email address: `erochovetsn@hotmail.com`